R5615-9-L

JOINT CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES
BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Wendell G. Freeland, Esquire
Chairman
Partner
Freeland & Kronz
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Dr. William B. Boyd
Vice Chairman
President
The Johnson Foundation
Racine, Wisconsin

Louis E. Martin
Treasurer
Chairman
Calmar Communications
Chicago, Illinois

Eddie N. Williams
President
Joint Center for Political Studies
Washington, D.C.

Bishop John Hurst Adams
Chairman
Congress of National Black Churches
Washington, D.C.

Dr. Robert McCormick Adams
Secretary
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, D.C.

Dr. Lucius J. Barker
Edna F. Gellhorn University
Professor of Public Affairs and
Professor of Political Science
Washington University
St. Louis, Missouri

Dr. Andrew F. Brimmer
President
Brimmer & Company, Inc.
Washington, D.C.

Charles U. Daly
Director
John F. Kennedy Library and Museum
Boston, Massachusetts

Dr. Hortense Dixon
Houston, Texas

Mervyn M. Dymally
U.S. Representative
Compton, California

Marian Wright Edelman
President
Children's Defense Fund
Washington, D.C.

Dr. Luther H. Foster
Chairman
Foster Associates, Inc.
Washington, D.C.

Jayne Brumley Ikard
Washington, D.C.

Robert C. Maynard
Editor, Publisher and President
The Tribune
Oakland, California

Bernard Rapoport
Chairman
American Income Life
Insurance Company
Waco, Texas

Dr. Eleanor Farrar
Secretary to the Board
Vice President
Joint Center for Political Studies
Washington, D.C.

THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT FACT BOOK

Third Edition, 1988

Edited by Linda F. Williams
With assistance from
Theresa Chambliss and Sherrie Russell-Brown

Joint Center for Political Studies
Washington, D.C.

The Joint Center for Political Studies is a national, nonprofit, tax-exempt institution that conducts research on public policy issues of special concern to black Americans and promotes informed and effective involvement of blacks in the governmental process. Founded in 1970, the Joint Center provides independent and nonpartisan analyses through research, publication, and outreach programs.

Opinions expressed in Joint Center publications are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the other staff, officers, or governors of the Joint Center or of the organizations supporting the Center and its research.

We gratefully acknowledge the many institutions, in particular the Ford Foundation and the Joyce Foundation, and the corporations, other organizations, and individuals that support the work of the Joint Center for Political Studies.

ISSN 0888-8957

Copyright © 1988, Joint Center for Political Studies, Inc.
All Rights Reserved
Printed in the United States of America

Joint Center for Political Studies
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20004

Contents

Foreword iv
Introduction v
Congressional District Table 2
State Table 18
Summary Tables 22
- 1988 Congressional Election Calendar 22
- Congressional Districts in Which Blacks or Hispanics Make Up 20 Percent or More of the Population 23
- Members of the Congressional Black Caucus, 100th Congress 24
- Members of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus, 100th Congress 24
- Women in the 100th Congress 25
- Partisan Control of Districts by Percentage of Blacks in the Districts, U.S. House of Representatives 25

Highlight Tables 26
- Most Black 26
- Most Hispanic 26
- Most Asian 26
- Most Native American 26
- Most English 27
- Most Irish 27
- Most French 27
- Most Italian 27
- Most German 27
- Most Polish 27
- Highest Income 28
- Lowest Income 28
- Most Persons in Poverty 28
- Fewest Persons in Poverty 28
- Most Homeowners 28
- Fewest Homeowners 28
- Most Blue Collar 29
- Fewest Blue Collar 29
- Most College Educated 29
- Fewest College Educated 29
- Most Working Women 29
- Fewest Working Women 29
- Most Population Growth 30
- Most Population Loss 30
- Highest Population Density 30
- Lowest Population Density 30
- Most Rural 30
- Most Agricultural 30
- Highest Reagan Support 31
- Highest Mondale Support 31
- Highest Republican Campaign Spending 31
- Highest Democratic Campaign Spending 31
- Highest Turnout 31
- Lowest Turnout 31
- Most Elderly 32
- Most College Students 32
- Most Government Workers 32
- Most Mass Transit Users 32
- Most Residents in Armed Forces 32
- Fewest Married-Couple Families 32

Foreword

The first and second editions of the *JCPS Congressional District Fact Book*, published in 1983 and 1986, quickly became valuable resources for policy makers, political strategists, and students of American politics. With this third edition we update the material in previous editions, continuing to provide essential demographic, political, and socioeconomic statistics on the states and congressional districts in a compact format that makes comparisons simple and straight forward.

Among those who found earlier editions useful are voter registration groups, who use it to target districts for special efforts; researchers, who use it as a source of raw data; educators, who recommend it to their students as a reference tool; political candidates and strategists, who use the information in it to target special population groups; and others, who use it simply to get a clearer picture of social and political trends in the United States. With the 1988 presidential election year already upon us and the 1990 census just around the corner, this edition should prove even more useful.

This edition of the *Congressional District Fact Book* generally retains the organization of the earlier editions. Information on the congressional districts and representatives appears first, followed by information on the states and senators. This information is followed by several summary tables (a new feature) and the highlight tables, which rank the top 10 districts in 30 different categories (and, in many of those categories, the 10 lowest ranked districts). The statistics presented are the latest ones available.

Linda F. Williams, associate director of research at the Center, was in charge of the project under the supervision of Milton D. Morris, director of research. Theresa Chambliss and Sherrie Russell-Brown helped compile and verify the tables. Special acknowledgment should be given to JCPS Associate Editor Constance Toliver, who edited this edition.

We hope this edition will be even more useful than the earlier ones as a source of information that can be put to many practical and creative uses by those who want to understand the characteristics of our nation's legislative districts, the elections in those districts, and the legislators' voting habits. We particularly hope that the information will be used to open up the American political arena to all, especially to those who were excluded in the past.

Eddie N. Williams
President
January 1988

Introduction

The wide-open race for the presidency has pushed this year's congressional races out of the spotlight. The crowded field of presidential candidates, with no clear frontrunners in either party, has made it more difficult than usual to predict which party will next occupy the White House. Thus, the media, political pundits, and the electorate are focusing on the varied, highly competitive presidential campaigns and paying little attention to the U.S. congressional races. This is especially true of House races.

Yet, Senate and House races are vitally important in their own right. Congress is one of the principal centers of power in the federal government and is responsible for overseeing the executive branch to ensure that its agencies and programs function appropriately. Hence, elections for Congress deserve considerable attention.

This third edition of the *Congressional District Fact Book* should provide some vital political and demographic data for beginning to analyze the 1988 congressional elections. The *Fact Book* gives information on the congressional districts and their representatives for the present Congress—the 100th. It provides similar data on the states and their senators. These data are the factual underpinning needed for assessing candidates and their campaigns and for anticipating election results. The information in the *Fact Book* on the 1984 presidential election results and on shifts in partisan support in 1986 may also help in identifying some targets of opportunity for presidential candidates.

The scope and organization of this edition of the *Fact Book* are generally the same as in the previous editions. The data for each congressional district are presented in a concise, easily readable table. The data for all the states are presented in a subsequent table. "Highlight Tables" list the top 10 congressional districts in 30 different categories, some of which are not included in the district and state tables. Finally, several "Summary Tables" have been added to this edition. These include a list of all districts whose populations are 20 percent or more black, or 20 percent or more Hispanic; a list showing partisan control of districts by the percentage of blacks in the districts; a list of members of the Congressional Black Caucus in the 100th Congress; a list of members of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus in the 100th Congress; and a list of female members of the 100th Congress.

Data were collected from a variety of sources. Demographic statistics were obtained mostly from the 51-volume Census Bureau series, *Congressional Districts of the 98th Congress,* and—for 10 states (California, Hawaii, Louisiana, Maine, Mississippi, Montana, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Washington)—from Census Bureau updates. Voting and turnout data for the presidential election by state were derived from *America Votes 16,* edited by Richard Scammon and Alice V. McGillivray (Washington, D.C.: *Congressional Quarterly,* 1985). Voting and turnout data for the presidential election by congressional district were derived from *The Almanac of American Politics, 1988,* by Michael Barone and Grant Ujifusa (Washington, D.C.: *National Journal,* 1987). Data on campaign spending for congressional districts and on vote percentages for incumbents were derived from *Politics in America, 1988,* edited by Alan Ehrenhalt (Washington, D.C.: *Congressional Quarterly,* 1987), and from the Federal Election Commission.

How to Read the Tables

In the congressional district table, districts are listed by state, in numerical order. The district number is followed by the name of either the district's major population center(s) or an identifying geographic feature. For example, *Mobile* appears after the number *1* under Alabama because Mobile is the main population center of Alabama's 1st congressional district. The boundaries of that district, however, extend beyond Mobile.

For the most part, the categories used for the state table are the same as those used for the congressional district table. However, the arrangement is different so that in the state table, statewide data do not have to be given separately for each of a state's two senators. (For each state, the senior senator is listed first.)

The categories in the two tables, with their definitions, are as follows:

●: **Vulnerable Seat (congressional district table only):** Incumbent member of the House received less than 55 percent of the vote in the 1986 election.

○: **Marginal Seat (congressional district table only):** Incumbent member of the House received between 55 and 59 percent of the vote in the 1986 election.

% **Population Change:** The change in the total population of the district or state between 1970 and 1980, expressed as a percentage of the total population in 1970.

% **Black:** Blacks as a percentage of voting-age population in 1980.

% **Hispanic:** Persons of Spanish origin as a percentage of voting-age population in 1980.

% **Owner Occupied:** Owner-occupied housing units as a percentage of all housing units in 1980. (Subtracting this percentage from 100 yields the percentage of renter-occupied housing units.)

% **Rural:** Percentage of the total population living in Census-designated places of 2,500 inhabitants or fewer in 1980.

% **College Educated:** Percentage of the population aged 25 years or more with one or more years of college attendance in 1980.

Per Capita Income Index: 1979 per capita income of the district or state, expressed as a proportion of the nationwide average 1979 per capita income. A value of 100 is equal to the U.S. average.

% **Blue Collar:** Percentage of employed persons aged 16 years and older working as operators, fabricators, and laborers, or in precision production, craft, and repair occupations in 1980.

Median Age (congressional district table only): The age in total population that was the midpoint—i.e., half of the district's population was above this age and half below this age—in 1980.

% **in Poverty (state table only):** Percentage of all households classified being below the poverty level, based on income in 1979.

% **Unemployment (state table only):** Percentage of the civilian population aged 16 years and over that was not employed in November 1984 and was looking for work and available to accept a job.

Electoral Votes (state table only): Number of votes cast by the state in the Electoral College in 1984, equal to that state's total number of U.S. House and Senate seats.

Next Election (state table only): The next year in which an incumbent senator will face reelection.

Incumbent: Member of the U.S. House of Representatives in the congressional district table, member of the Senate in the state table.

% **Incumbent:** Percentage of the vote received by the incumbent in 1986 (for House members) or in the incumbent's most recent election (for senators).

% **Reagan:** Percentage of the total 1984 presidential vote received by Republican Ronald Reagan.

% **Mondale:** Percentage of the total 1984 presidential vote received by Democrat Walter Mondale.

% **Turnout:** Total 1984 presidential vote cast for Reagan and Mondale together, expressed as a percentage of the 1980 voting-age population.

Democratic Spending (congressional district table only): Total campaign spending by the district's 1986 Democratic candidate for the U.S. House.

Republican Spending (congressional district table only): Total campaign spending by the district's 1986 Republican candidate for the U.S. House.

ADA: Percentage of the incumbent's 1986 roll call votes given an approval rating by Americans for Democratic Action. This index is considered a standard measure of ideological liberalism. (Subtracting it from 100 yields a good measure of ideological conservatism.)

LCCR: Percentage of the incumbent's 1986 roll call votes given an approval rating by the Leadership Conference for Civil Rights. This index measures agreement with positions of special concern to minorities and is weighted toward issues involving civil rights and spending for social programs (education, employment, housing, etc.).

AFL-CIO: Percentage of the incumbent's 1986 roll call votes given an approval rating by the Committee on Political Education (COPE) of the American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations. This index measures agreement with organized labor.

USCC: Percentage of the incumbent's 1986 roll call votes given an approval rating by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. This index measures agreement with business interests.

ACU: Percentage of the incumbent's 1986 roll call votes given an approval rating by the American Conservative Union. This index measures agreement with conservative positions on foreign policy, social, and budgetary issues. (Subtracting it from 100 yields a good measure of ideological liberalism.)

Uses for the *Fact Book*

In addition to serving as a basic reference source, the *Fact Book* should prove useful to people involved in many kinds of political activities. The *Fact Book's* potential applications include:

Registration and Turnout Drives: States and districts that had low turnout in the 1984 election can be pinpointed with an examination of the "% Turnout" column, and specific target groups (such as blacks, blue-collar workers, or homeowners) can be located with an examination of the relevant columns.

Fund Raising: The per capita income index is not only a good summary measure of socioeconomic status but also a useful tool for gauging fund-

raising potential. Relatively wealthy districts with safe incumbents are likely to be net "exporters" of campaign funds to other races in the same state or in other parts of the country, whereas relatively poor districts with hotly contested races are likely to be net "importers" of campaign funds. The likely expense of a local race can be gleaned from the campaign spending data. The election and demographic data can give a rough idea of which political constituencies can be tapped for funds in a given state or district.

Updating Lists: Any state or district showing high population growth will require particular attention in the assembling of up-to-date mailing and registration lists. In these states or districts, estimates of total vote and fund-raising potential should be increased, as well.

Locating Constituencies: The congressional districts have been ranked according to a variety of demographic and political variables in the highlight and summary tables at the back of the *Fact Book*. Users will discover some interesting and often unexpected patterns in this section.

Toward the 1988 Congressional Races

The top three questions for the 1988 congressional races are: Will the Senate revert to Republican control? Will Republicans make big gains in the House? Will substantially more minorities and women be elected to Congress?

The most likely answer to all three of these questions is "no." Big changes in the makeup of Congress, especially the House, are not expected in 1988. Only a presidential landslide of monumental proportions—larger than Ronald Reagan's 59 percent of the popular vote in 1984—would significantly alter the makeup of Congress. The following discussion, based on data in the *Fact Book*, demonstrates why this is so.

Changes in the makeup of Congress—the defeat of significant numbers of the incumbent members of one party—are more likely in the Senate than in the House. As data in the *Fact Book* show, 98 percent of the 393 members of the House of Representatives who stood for reelection in 1986 won, whereas only 75 percent of the 28 members of the Senate who stood for reelection won. A smaller number of representatives than senators were defeated, even though 14 times more representatives were on the ballot. The 98 percent reelection rate for the House was a record high, topping the previous record of 96.8 percent set in 1968. In contrast, the reelection rate of incumbent senators in 1986 (75 percent) was reflective of the post-World War II rate, 74.9 percent.

The GOP faces tough odds in its bid to regain the Senate. Although the Democrats hold 18 of the seats up for reelection and the Republicans hold only 15, early indications offer few prospects for a renewed Republican majority.* The most likely scenario for 1988 has the Democrats losing three or four seats at most, while gaining three or more seats now held by Republicans. Thus, the Democrats should retain their current margin—give or take a seat.

Even fewer changes are expected in the House. In every election since World War II, House incumbents have enjoyed an extraordinary degree of success in running for reelection. In addition to breaking reelection rates in 1986, most incumbents won by large margins. Eighty-five percent of incumbents either won at least 60 percent of the vote or had no major party opposition. That 85 percent broke the 1978 record of 78 percent. Incumbency advantages (e.g., staff, franking privileges, travel funds, House television and radio recording studios, and campaign finance) will continue to insulate House members from changes in the political sentiment of the electorate. Thus, we should expect that Democrats, presently enjoying their 34th consecutive year as the majority party in the House, will continue to control that body.

The low turnover rate in the House means slow progress in increasing the numbers of blacks, Hispanics, and women in that chamber. (Currently the House has 23 black members, 14 Hispanic members, and 23 female members.) Their best bet is open seats, although in a few cases in 1986, women and blacks were able to defeat incumbents.

In sum, since the presidential margin of victory in 1988 is unlikely even to approach Ronald Reagan's 1984 landslide, the chances of the Republicans gaining ground in the Senate are weak, the chances of the Republicans taking over the House are almost nil, and the chances of blacks, Hispanics, and women being elected to the U.S. Congress in substantially greater numbers are slim.

---

* The Democratic seats up for reelection are Arizona, Florida, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The Republican seats up for reelection are California, Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming.

Congressional District Table	**2**
State Table	**18**
Summary Tables	**22**
Highlight Tables	**26**

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
CALIFORNIA (continued)																							
9 Alameda County	2	10	12	60	2	38	117	30	31	Stark	D	70	50	49	55	533	61	95	90	77	12	5	9
10 San Jose-Fremont	37	5	24	62	1	38	106	36	27	D. Edwards	D	73	51	48	47	156	+	100	100	93	12	0	10
11 San Mateo	2	6	12	58	1	51	141	21	32	Lantos	D	74	50	49	55	325	64	70	100	100	31	18	11
12 Sunnyvale	18	2	8	62	14	54	153	22	32	Konnyu	R	61	57	41	65	62	897	—	—	—	—	—	12
13 San Jose-Santa Clara	22	2	10	61	0	51	130	26	29	Mineta	D	70	58	41	57	444	33	95	100	79	18	5	13
14 Sierras	58	1	7	69	53	40	105	26	32	Shumway	R	73	64	34	65	+	257	0	0	7	94	95	14
15 Modesto	34	2	20	61	36	29	91	29	28	Coelho	D	72	59	40	47	655	+	70	100	100	14	14	15
16 Monterey	31	4	18	56	23	44	105	24	29	Panetta	D	80	53	46	54	115	9	85	100	85	31	11	16
17 Fresno-Visalia	40	2	23	62	26	31	89	26	28	Pashayan	R	60	63	36	51	229	304	25	30	77	56	64	17
18 Fresno-Stockton	13	6	21	58	23	31	88	31	29	R. Lehman	D	71	51	48	53	291	32	75	80	100	25	10	18
19 Santa Barbara	17	3	21	55	7	43	106	26	29	Lagomarsino	R	73	62	37	58	16	333	5	20	7	89	86	19
20 Bakersfield	22	4	12	63	22	37	102	29	30	W. Thomas	R	72	69	30	58	6	255	10	20	21	93	85	20
21 Los Angeles-Simi Valley	37	2	9	74	6	31	134	22	30	Gallegly	R	70	72	27	66	65	591	—	—	—	—	—	21
22 Glendale	7	2	11	57	4	51	144	21	35	Moorhead	R	76	72	26	59	26	144	0	0	7	100	95	22
23 Los Angeles-Beverly Hills	3	3	8	45	0	55	180	16	32	Bellenson	D	68	53	46	57	215	219	80	100	50	18	9	23
24 Los Angeles (Hollywood)	14	6	22	23	0	46	121	22	33	Waxman	D	88	44	55	37	137	+	95	100	92	20	5	24
25 Los Angeles (East)	7	10	57	38	0	24	69	43	27	Roybal	D	78	39	60	30	64	+	95	80	85	19	5	25
26 Los Angeles-San Fernando	2	4	20	55	0	41	135	28	31	Berman	D	65	54	45	51	273	10	95	90	92	19	5	26
27 Los Angeles-Santa Monica	2	9	12	37	3	52	145	21	31	Levine	D	65	52	46	53	399	394	85	100	75	15	5	27
28 Los Angeles-Inglewood	6	37	24	33	0	35	88	31	30	Dixon	D	78	32	67	40	103	39	85	70	100	25	0	28
29 Los Angeles-Downey	2	51	32	43	0	21	70	43	25	Hawkins	D	85	22	77	39	34	+	85	100	92	15	0	29
30 San Gabriel	10	1	48	47	0	27	84	41	27	Martinez	D	64	55	44	36	136	71	85	100	100	7	10	30
31 Compton-Carson	2	31	21	53	0	29	86	40	27	Dymally	D	72	41	58	42	385	41	100	100	93	19	0	31
32 Los Angeles-Long Beach	8	7	19	51	0	35	100	34	30	Anderson	D	70	58	40	46	417	12	75	100	79	28	23	32
33 Pomona	15	5	16	71	3	44	117	28	29	Dreier	R	73	70	29	58	148	23	0	0	0	100	95	33
34 Norwalk	4	2	42	71	0	26	89	43	26	Torres	D	60	59	40	43	112	82	90	100	93	18	5	34
35 San Bernadino County	40	3	12	71	20	38	103	30	29	Jerry Lewis	R	77	71	28	58	+	91	0	10	15	68	88	35
○ 36 San Bernadino-Ontario	19	7	20	63	0	31	89	35	27	G. Brown	D	57	56	43	51	511	210	95	80	92	21	0	36
37 Riverside	55	3	15	71	22	34	106	29	33	McCandless	R	64	63	39	61	56	128	5	0	7	94	95	37
○ 38 Santa Ana Garden Grove	11	2	25	57	0	32	100	37	27	Dornan	R	57	69	30	45	582	1,175	5	0	8	81	95	38
39 Anaheim-Fullerton	32	1	11	59	0	47	128	26	29	Dannemeyer	R	76	77	22	63	7	260	5	0	0	87	95	39
40 Newport Beach	50	1	7	61	0	60	158	18	31	Badham	R	61	75	24	65	170	419	0	0	8	100	89	40
41 San Diego (North)	49	2	6	59	6	56	128	19	31	Lowery	R	69	64	35	64	154	402	5	10	8	75	74	41
42 Torrance	8	1	6	59	0	59	157	20	33	Lungren	R	75	72	27	63	18	216	0	0	7	100	95	42
43 Oceanside	103	2	11	66	0	49	122	23	30	Packard	R	75	74	25	61	26	153	5	0	7	94	91	43

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent. **Rep. Sala Burton (D) died in February 1987; Nancy Pelosi (D) was elected in June 1987 to suceed her.

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
CALIFORNIA (continued)																							
44 San Diego (Central)	15	13	22	46	0	33	80	31	26	Bates	D	65	52	47	40	410	135	90	100	86	44	0	44
45 San Diego (South)	27	2	14	53	13	41	109	25	29	Hunter	R	78	71	28	56	22	401	0	10	29	100	82	45
COLORADO																							
1 Denver	-6	11	15	49	0	45	116	23	30	Schroeder	D	68	47	53	53	156	13	95	100	86	29	5	1
● 2 Boulder	50	1	7	69	9	47	114	29	27	Skaggs	D	51	61	39	62	512	491	—	—	—	—	—	2
● 3 Pueblo	30	1	15	70	46	38	94	31	29	Campbell	D	52	63	37	60	386	566	—	—	—	—	—	3
4 Greeley-Fort Collins	30	*	11	67	39	36	92	29	28	H. Brown	R	70	68	32	60	22	212	10	30	0	94	77	4
5 Colorado Springs	61	4	6	68	20	48	108	25	28	Hefley	R	70	75	25	61	51	283	—	—	—	—	—	5
6 Aurora	43	2	4	68	1	51	132	22	29	Schaefer	R	66	69	31	62	+	125	0	10	15	88	95	6
CONNECTICUT																							
1 Hartford	-2	10	5	58	12	36	114	25	32	Kennelly	D	74	53	47	64	388	7	85	100	93	35	0	1
2 New London	6	3	1	65	45	33	100	34	30	Gejdenson	D	67	61	39	61	987	145	95	100	100	41	0	2
3 New Haven	2	9	2	63	11	35	108	29	32	B. Morrison	D	70	59	41	64	568	14	80	100	93	27	0	3
○ 4 Stamford-Bridgeport	-5	9	6	61	4	41	147	25	34	Shays**	R	57	63	36	63	475	506	—	—	—	—	—	4
5 Waterbury	8	4	3	68	22	36	115	33	32	J. Rowland	R	61	67	33	66	344	426	35	30	79	72	64	5
6 New Britain	6	2	2	70	34	35	114	34	32	N. Johnson	R	65	63	37	65	42	425	40	50	79	72	55	6
DELAWARE	8	14	1	69	29	32	102	29	30	Carper	D	66	60	40	60	307	271	55	70	86	50	27	AL
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	-16	66	3	36	0	42	123	15	31	Fauntroy	D	80	14	85	43	75	+	—	—	—	—	—	AL
FLORIDA																							
1 Pensacola	23	12	2	69	21	31	84	30	29	Hutto	D	65	76	24	58	135	54	5	20	29	89	82	1
2 Tallahassee	34	22	1	71	60	27	74	27	29	Grant	D	100	62	38	56	266	+	—	80	—	—	—	2
3 Jacksonville	5	25	2	63	6	27	87	29	29	Bennett	D	100	59	41	48	20	+	55	80	64	50	27	3
4 Daytona Beach	57	9	2	71	27	33	96	25	35	Chappell	D	100	67	33	59	136	+	30	70	64	31	52	4
5 Orlando	47	14	3	66	11	35	98	25	31	McCollum	R	100	71	28	50	+	121	0	10	7	82	82	5
6 Gainesville	71	12	2	73	54	29	82	27	34	MacKay	D	69	64	36	61	463	15	55	70	43	61	36	6
7 Tampa	25	13	11	65	6	31	91	29	31	Gibbons	D	100	63	37	50	563	+	50	70	31	57	45	7
8 St. Petersburg	30	7	1	71	0	31	101	26	45	C. Young	R	100	63	37	59	+	96	5	30	8	67	95	8
9 Clearwater	92	3	2	78	28	29	99	28	42	Bilirakis	R	71	67	33	69	84	509	15	20	29	67	86	9

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
FLORIDA (continued)																							
10 Lakeland	44	11	3	72	28	26	90	32	35	Ireland	R	72	71	29	55	13	403	0	10	8	100	80	10
11 Brevard County	**40**	**6**	**3**	**69**	**12**	**35**	**99**	**26**	**32**	**Nelson**	**D**	**73**	**74**	**26**	**65**	**236**	**12**	**15**	**90**	**43**	**61**	**77**	**11**
12 Fort Pierce	67	16	4	70	28	30	100	28	36	T. Lewis	R	100	67	33	62	+	286	15	10	21	76	70	12
13 Sarasota	**87**	**4**	**2**	**77**	**14**	**34**	**113**	**26**	**47**	**Mack**	**R**	**75**	**74**	**26**	**72**	**43**	**314**	**0**	**10**	**7**	**100**	**100**	**13**
14 Palm Beach	126	3	4	78	3	36	124	24	42	Mica	D	74	61	39	69	387	11	55	80	64	47	32	14
15 Fort Lauderdale	**34**	**13**	**3**	**67**	**0**	**34**	**119**	**26**	**39**	**Shaw**	**R**	**100**	**59**	**41**	**48**	**+**	**103**	**5**	**10**	**7**	**87**	**82**	**15**
16 Hollywood	75	4	20	74	0	33	114	25	37	Lawrence Smith	D	70	61	39	51	805	60	65	90	100	27	24	16
17 Miami (North)	**26**	**22**	**24**	**61**	**0**	**29**	**97**	**30**	**35**	**W. Lehman**	**D**	**100**	**46**	**54**	**45**	**173**	**+**	**100**	**100**	**83**	**20**	**0**	**17**
18 Miami (Central)	6	13	50	35	0	26	96	31	44	Pepper	D	74	60	40	36	1,395	16	70	90	100	25	16	18
19 Miami (South)	**45**	**10**	**21**	**67**	**6**	**46**	**124**	**19**	**30**	**Fascell**	**D**	**69**	**65**	**35**	**52**	**293**	**+**	**70**	**100**	**93**	**24**	**23**	**19**
GEORGIA																							
1 Savannah	**16**	**30**	**1**	**64**	**41**	**24**	**77**	**36**	**28**	**L. Thomas**	**D**	**100**	**59**	**41**	**45**	**201**	**+**	**25**	**80**	**43**	**61**	**68**	**1**
2 Albany	14	32	1	65	50	21	71	37	28	Hatcher	D	100	58	42	41	140	+	30	80	64	69	56	2
3 Columbus	**9**	**31**	**2**	**64**	**36**	**24**	**78**	**38**	**28**	**Ray**	**D**	**100**	**55**	**45**	**40**	**151**	**+**	**10**	**40**	**21**	**88**	**71**	**3**
• 4 De Kalb County	24	11	2	60	13	51	126	20	30	Swindall	R	53	66	34	57	118	628	0	0	14	94	91	4
5 Atlanta	**–6**	**60**	**1**	**47**	**2**	**34**	**91**	**26**	**28**	**John Lewis**	**D**	**75**	**33**	**67**	**47**	**380**	**76**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**5**
6 Clayton County	41	14	1	70	42	23	91	39	29	Gingrich	R	60	69	31	46	252	733	0	10	14	94	81	6
7 Marietta	**32**	**5**	**1**	**70**	**29**	**31**	**101**	**34**	**30**	**Darden**	**D**	**67**	**73**	**27**	**51**	**534**	**246**	**10**	**60**	**31**	**82**	**79**	**7**
8 Macon	11	32	1	68	52	20	72	39	29	R. Rowland	D	86	52	48	46	150	+	40	80	50	56	55	8
9 Dalton	**35**	**5**	**1**	**77**	**78**	**20**	**84**	**46**	**30**	**Jenkins**	**D**	**100**	**69**	**31**	**45**	**145**	**+**	**35**	**70**	**36**	**53**	**58**	**9**
10 Augusta	32	23	1	66	33	31	86	34	27	Barnard	D	67	65	35	46	210	117	25	60	23	79	72	10
HAWAII																							
1 Honolulu	**15**	**1**	**5**	**47**	**0**	**41**	**118**	**21**	**30**	**Saiki**	**R**	**61**	**55**	**44**	**56**	**501**	**528**	**—**	**60**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**1**
2 Oahu-Outer Islands	37	2	7	56	27	36	93	27	27	Akaka	D	76	56	43	40	110	32	90	100	86	18	9	2
IDAHO																							
1 Boise-Lewiston	**41**	*****	**3**	**48**	**48**	**35**	**87**	**30**	**29**	**Craig**	**R**	**67**	**68**	**30**	**62**	**12**	**310**	**5**	**0**	**21**	**100**	**86**	**1**
• 2 Boise-Pocatello	25	*	4	56	44	40	83	28	26	Stallings	D	54	76	23	65	470	325	45	60	57	71	32	2

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent. **Rep. Stewart McKinney (R) died in May 1987; Christopher Shays (R) was elected in August 1987 to suceed him.

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
	ILLINOIS																							
	1 Chicago (South Side)	–19	90	1	28	0	31	78	26	28	C. Hayes	D	96	5	95	58	113	+	95	100	100	6	0	1
	2 Chicago (Lake Calumet)	–2	66	7	56	0	23	82	38	26	Savage	D	83	16	84	59	151	+	95	90	100	7	0	2
	3 Chicago-Oak Lawn	–2	5	3	75	0	27	116	33	32	Russo	D	66	63	35	64	483	43	65	80	86	38	9	3
•	4 Joliet	14	10	5	71	8	30	114	36	28	J. Davis	R	52	50	50	56	204	272	—	—	—	—	—	4
	5 Chicago-Cicero-Berwyn	–3	3	21	52	0	16	94	43	30	Lipinski	D	70	58	42	49	153	11	45	90	92	27	45	5
	6 Des Plaines	19	1	3	76	0	44	135	25	30	Hyde	R	75	56	44	59	6	230	5	0	7	75	90	6
	7 Chicago (West Side)	–16	60	4	33	0	31	89	31	26	Collins	D	81	25	75	55	234	9	95	80	100	13	0	7
	8 Chicago (North Side)	–9	3	25	39	0	19	89	42	30	Rostenkowski	D	79	51	49	47	240	+	65	80	86	35	15	8
	9 Chicago-Evanston	–9	9	8	35	0	54	153	16	32	Yates	D	72	45	55	56	97	38	90	100	85	12	0	9
	10 Waukegan	6	5	4	73	1	54	163	20	30	Porter	R	75	68	31	61	104	176	15	20	7	94	64	10
	11 Chicago (Northwest Side)	–8	*	5	60	0	31	125	30	37	Annunzio	D	71	59	41	62	171	30	70	90	93	39	5	11
	12 Palatine	39	1	3	74	20	41	130	28	29	Crane	R	78	77	23	61	8	366	0	0	0	93	100	12
	13 Downers Grove	34	1	2	76	4	48	147	23	31	Fawell	R	73	54	46	66	+	194	20	20	4	89	73	13
•	14 Elgin	18	2	3	71	25	35	117	33	29	Hastert	R	52	69	31	61	323	327	—	—	—	—	—	14
	15 Kankakee	8	5	1	68	45	27	102	30	29	Madigan	R	100	68	32	56	+	209	0	30	25	79	76	15
	16 Rockford	3	4	2	70	36	25	105	39	30	L. Martin	R	67	63	37	60	44	239	15	40	38	94	68	16
○	17 Rock Island-Moline	4	2	2	73	39	25	104	36	30	Evans	D	56	54	46	61	620	313	100	100	93	11	0	17
	18 Peoria	8	4	1	72	37	28	107	32	30	Michel	R	62	62	38	47	12	640	5	10	8	88	86	18
	19 Champaign-Urbana	5	3	1	70	41	28	94	31	29	Bruce	D	66	62	38	59	278	38	80	100	100	33	9	19
	20 Springfield-Decatur	3	4	*	72	37	25	100	30	32	Durbin	D	68	58	42	49	289	108	85	100	93	22	14	20
•	21 East St. Louis	–2	12	1	71	20	24	94	34	30	M. Price	D	50	53	47	59	143	137	65	90	100	29	18	21
•	22 Carbondale	9	6	1	74	52	21	87	36	31	K. Gray	D	53	56	42	62	305	221	65	90	100	35	18	22
	INDIANA																							
	1 Gary	–6	22	7	67	4	22	104	44	28	Visclosky	D	73	43	57	58	163	63	90	100	93	33	0	1
	2 Muncie	8	2	1	72	35	24	97	35	30	Sharp	D	62	68	32	57	384	118	65	80	86	41	10	2
•	3 South Bend	6	4	1	75	37	25	98	38	30	Hiler	R	50	62	38	56	189	337	—	—	—	—	86	3
	4 Fort Wayne	7	4	1	76	42	26	98	38	29	Coats	R	70	67	33	56	20	225	10	10	14	94	82	4
•	5 Kokomo	13	2	1	76	51	23	100	42	29	Jontz	D	51	69	31	57	463	423	—	—	—	—	—	5
	6 Anderson	16	3	1	72	37	34	118	31	31	Burton	R	69	72	28	65	48	216	5	10	21	89	100	6
	7 Terre Haute	9	2	1	74	53	26	93	35	29	Myers	R	68	66	33	55	27	161	20	40	36	50	91	7
•	8 Evansville	3	2	*	74	45	23	93	39	31	McCloskey	D	53	60	39	60	625	582	55	100	86	50	18	8
	9 Bloomington	14	2	1	75	54	21	85	41	28	Hamilton	D	73	60	39	58	306	17	55	80	57	56	23	9
○	10 Indianapolis	–12	25	1	57	0	23	90	34	28	Jacobs	D	58	48	51	51	41	531	85	90	79	50	0	10

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
IOWA																							
1 Davenport	5	2	1	73	36	28	99	34	30	Leach	R	66	52	47	61	23	232	55	80	21	61	32	1
2 Cedar Rapids	3	1	*	73	39	27	98	33	29	Tauke	R	61	53	46	63	171	388	30	40	21	88	43	2
○ 3 Waterloo	4	2	*	71	42	31	98	30	29	Nagle	D	55	52	47	64	295	418	—	—	—	—	—	3
4 Des Moines	7	3	1	68	21	36	107	25	29	N. Smith	D	68	49	50	66	101	66	70	80	83	22	14	4
○ 5 Council Bluffs	2	*	1	74	56	23	89	29	32	Lightfoot	R	59	57	42	61	250	474	10	30	14	94	64	5
● 6 Sioux City	–1	*	1	73	50	27	94	28	32	Grandy	R	51	57	42	61	408	677	—	—	—	—	—	6
KANSAS																							
1 Salina	0	1	2	75	55	30	92	29	32	Roberts	R	76	74	25	62	9	87	0	0	7	94	82	1
2 Topeka	6	7	3	64	33	35	92	26	28	Slattery	D	71	64	35	54	359	20	45	70	57	56	27	2
3 Kansas City	9	8	2	71	10	42	121	26	30	Meyers	R	100	63	36	65	+	140	25	10	14	78	55	3
4 Wichita	5	6	2	66	19	36	108	34	29	Glickman	D	64	63	35	57	523	228	55	70	64	50	32	4
5 Emporia	6	2	1	75	49	28	89	36	33	Whittaker	R	71	67	32	57	+	98	10	0	15	94	91	5
KENTUCKY																							
1 Paducah	12	8	1	74	60	19	82	42	31	Hubbard	D	100	54	45	51	238	+	35	50	86	50	59	1
2 Owensboro	18	6	1	72	56	20	76	40	27	Natcher	D	100	63	36	49	6	+	65	100	93	28	23	2
3 Louisville	–9	18	1	62	1	26	91	33	30	Mazzoli	D	74	52	48	55	126	+	50	80	64	24	32	3
○ 4 Covington	19	2	*	73	31	28	102	32	30	Bunning	R	56	70	30	57	333	896	—	—	—	—	—	4
5 Boone Forest	22	2	1	74	81	14	61	45	29	Rogers	R	100	69	30	54	+	173	10	10	43	67	86	5
6 Lexington	19	9	1	61	36	31	89	29	29	Hopkins	R	74	62	37	52	10	161	10	30	21	94	73	6
7 Ashland	23	1	1	75	79	15	70	46	28	Perkins	D	79	49	51	51	241	58	80	100	93	17	9	7
LOUISIANA																							
1 New Orleans-St. Tammany	15	9	4	60	15	33	98	28	29	Livingston	R	100	77	22	57	+	151	0	10	21	78	82	1
2 New Orleans-Jefferson	1	52	3	47	1	25	84	33	28	Boggs	D	100	37	62	50	262	+	80	100	100	25	18	2
3 Metairie	29	18	3	68	28	28	100	36	26	Tauzin	D	100	65	33	58	330	+	20	70	50	12	64	3
4 Shreveport	11	29	2	68	35	27	87	35	28	Roemer	D	100	65	34	55	137	+	35	40	43	61	60	4
5 Monroe	13	28	1	73	52	18	73	35	28	Huckaby	D	100	66	32	58	326	+	20	60	31	33	65	5
6 Baton Rouge	30	23	1	67	29	27	95	31	27	Baker	R	100	64	35	61	712	433	—	—	—	—	—	6
○ 7 Lake Charles	20	18	2	72	37	25	93	38	27	J. Hayes	D	57	59	40	63	847	+	—	—	—	—	—	7
● 8 Alexandria	10	36	1	71	53	19	73	38	27	Holloway	R	51	50	48	65	397	455	—	—	—	—	—	8

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
	MAINE																							
●	1 Portland	17	*	*	70	49	30	85	35	31	Brennan	D	54	60	40	72	288	261	—	—	—	—	—	1
	2 Lewiston-Bangor	9	*	*	72	56	26	73	39	30	Snowe	R	77	62	37	65	24	216	50	90	64	61	48	2
	MARYLAND																							
	1 Chesapeake Bay	22	17	1	72	72	25	93	33	30	Dyson	D	67	65	35	50	354	166	30	60	69	50	64	1
○	2 Baltimore County	14	5	1	65	14	31	119	30	31	Bentley	R	59	66	34	56	1,072	1,070	15	30	67	63	62	2
	3 Baltimore (East)	–3	14	1	61	1	33	112	24	33	B. Cardin	D	79	50	49	55	488	46	—	—	—	—	—	3
●	4 Annapolis	20	19	1	65	11	35	117	25	29	McMillen	D	50	59	40	52	796	630	—	—	—	—	—	4
	5 Prince George's County	1	31	2	56	3	40	117	21	28	Hoyer	D	82	42	57	50	368	+	95	100	93	22	5	5
	6 Hagerstown	24	4	1	73	54	29	107	32	31	Byron	D	72	69	31	56	206	137	20	30	46	63	71	6
	7 Baltimore (West)	–11	70	1	42	0	23	75	30	29	Mfume	D	87	18	82	49	105	53	—	—	—	—	—	7
●	8 Montgomery County	7	8	4	63	1	64	168	20	32	Morella	R	53	48	51	68	1,500	630	—	—	—	—	—	8
	MASSACHUSETTS																							
	1 Berkshire Hills	4	1	1	63	31	33	91	31	31	Conte	R	78	51	49	58	123	205	75	100	100	22	14	1
	2 Springfield	–2	4	3	62	21	28	92	36	31	Boland	D	66	53	46	56	282	101	70	100	100	17	5	2
	3 Worcester	2	1	2	62	24	35	100	31	31	Early	D	100	57	43	61	187	+	85	70	93	20	14	3
	4 Newton-Fall River	2	1	1	61	17	42	118	27	32	Frank	D	89	48	51	62	214	+	100	100	86	17	0	4
	5 Lowell-Lawrence	3	1	3	60	17	41	113	29	30	Atkins	D	100	57	43	62	558	+	90	100	92	25	0	5
	6 Lynn	–1	1	1	62	11	37	108	29	33	Mavroules	D	100	55	44	65	184	+	85	100	93	24	5	6
	7 Medford-Malden	–4	1	1	61	1	33	106	26	32	Markey	D	100	50	49	63	314	+	95	100	85	13	0	7
	8 Boston-Cambridge-Waltham	–7	4	3	31	0	44	106	19	29	Kennedy	D	73	36	64	51	1,802	592	—	—	—	—	—	8
	9 Boston-Taunton	–5	14	4	49	9	33	97	25	31	Moakley	D	84	48	51	57	314	+	85	100	100	18	5	9
	10 New Bedford	27	1	1	71	45	32	98	30	33	Studds	D	65	55	45	69	396	97	95	100	86	19	0	10
	11 Boston-Quincy-Brockton	–3	8	2	58	2	30	95	27	31	Donnelly	D	100	52	48	57	46	+	75	90	93	29	14	11
	MICHIGAN																							
	1 Detroit-Highland Park	–10	66	2	67	0	25	89	36	28	Conyers	D	90	14	86	51	163	+	85	80	92	9	0	1
○	2 Ann Arbor	10	5	1	69	35	42	115	27	29	Pursell	R	59	64	35	59	32	140	45	70	43	76	55	2
	3 Lansing-Kalamazoo	4	8	2	68	31	34	102	32	28	Wolpe	D	60	63	36	57	853	223	90	100	93	33	3	3
	4 Benton Harbor	13	6	1	76	61	27	93	39	30	Upton	R	63	69	30	57	15	383	—	—	—	—	—	4
	5 Grand Rapids	10	5	2	73	27	33	101	36	28	Henry	R	71	68	31	65	17	305	40	50	43	89	45	5
○	6 Lansing-Pontiac	19	6	2	70	33	36	107	30	26	Carr	D	57	63	36	52	693	245	70	60	86	33	24	6
	7 Flint	5	14	1	76	32	27	108	42	28	Kildee	D	80	54	45	60	101	+	95	100	100	17	0	7
	8 Saginaw-Bay City	8	6	3	78	53	23	95	39	29	Traxler	D	73	60	39	58	99	32	80	100	100	29	9	8

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
MICHIGAN (continued)																							
9 Muskegon	14	4	2	79	59	27	87	38	29	**Vander Jagt**	R	64	69	31	63	18	399	0	10	14	89	79	9
• 10 Midland	24	1	1	80	72	26	86	35	28	Schuette	R	51	67	32	60	406	898	10	20	23	73	76	10
11 Sault Ste. Marie	11	1	*	77	63	26	78	33	30	**R. Davis**	R	64	59	41	62	59	207	35	60	92	57	60	11
12 Lake St. Clair	9	2	1	78	16	26	113	35	29	Bonior	D	66	66	33	51	284	62	95	100	100	6	0	12
13 Detroit (Central)	–31	67	3	41	0	21	76	33	29	**Crockett**	D	86	15	85	44	56	14	95	90	92	15	0	13
14 Detroit-Sterling Heights	–1	4	1	79	0	27	119	33	31	Hertel	D	73	63	37	66	173	74	85	100	93	19	9	14
15 Willow Run	11	5	1	71	2	28	113	38	27	**W. Ford**	D	76	61	39	51	306	+	80	90	93	6	5	15
16 Dearborn	–1	3	2	76	21	25	115	37	30	Dingell	D	78	60	40	57	483	+	75	80	92	6	19	16
17 Detroit-Southfield	–12	10	1	75	0	36	128	27	31	**Levin**	D	77	54	46	58	134	+	85	100	100	33	0	17
18 Farmington Hills	31	1	1	78	15	51	167	21	31	Broomfield	R	74	75	25	75	6	68	5	20	7	100	73	18
MINNESOTA																							
1 Rochester	5	*	1	75	49	31	92	28	29	**Penny**	D	72	55	44	68	334	44	75	60	64	50	18	1
• 2 Minnesota River	2	*	*	77	66	24	83	28	32	Weber	R	52	57	43	68	296	910	15	50	21	72	73	2
3 Bloomington	25	*	*	75	9	50	137	21	29	**Frenzel**	R	70	59	41	83	53	323	25	20	0	81	64	3
4 St. Paul	–3	2	2	63	0	39	115	25	29	Vento	D	73	40	59	70	198	77	90	100	93	28	0	4
5 Minneapolis	–15	5	1	54	0	41	112	24	30	**Sabo**	D	74	38	62	68	208	22	95	100	93	11	0	5
6 Anoka County	39	*	1	79	20	36	111	33	27	Sikorski	D	64	52	47	78	492	154	85	100	100	33	5	6
• **7 St. Cloud**	11	*	*	77	65	27	76	26	28	**Stangeland**	R	50	57	43	67	464	548	10	30	21	59	80	7
8 Duluth	11	*	*	78	57	28	87	34	31	Oberstar	D	72	40	59	70	69	156	85	100	93	6	5	8
MISSISSIPPI																							
1 Tupelo	18	21	1	75	68	20	68	46	29	**Whitten**	D	66	63	37	52	171	112	55	80	69	31	16	1
• 2 Mississippi Delta	1	53	1	64	57	23	61	35	26	Espy	D	52	50	50	53	591	574	—	—	—	—	—	2
3 Meridian	17	28	1	74	59	25	71	39	28	**Montgomery**	D	100	65	35	58	71	+	5	0	29	76	86	3
4 Jackson	12	37	1	70	44	32	78	33	28	Dowdy	D	71	59	41	60	326	62	95	80	79	17	32	4
5 Gulfport-Biloxi	23	17	1	72	36	32	76	37	27	**Lott**	R	82	74	26	51	53	265	5	0	23	86	95	5
MISSOURI																							
1 St. Louis (North)	–21	46	1	54	1	29	90	27	30	**Clay**	D	66	37	63	53	191	28	75	100	100	15	0	1
• 2 Florissant	11	5	1	76	3	43	133	22	31	Buechner	R	52	66	34	74	528	326	—	—	—	—	—	2

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
MISSOURI (continued)																							
3 St. Louis (South)	0	1	1	69	16	25	105	32	32	Gephardt	D	69	65	35	61	881	+	70	100	100	18	0	3
4 Jefferson City	20	3	1	74	55	23	85	34	30	Skelton	D	100	67	33	60	184	+	35	80	86	47	55	4
5 Kansas City	–10	20	2	60	1	33	106	28	31	Wheat	D	72	46	54	56	193	+	95	100	86	22	0	5
○ 6 St. Joseph	7	2	1	73	43	25	94	32	32	T. Coleman	R	57	61	39	60	134	251	5	40	21	75	75	6
7 Ozarks	22	1	1	74	51	24	80	36	32	Taylor	R	67	69	31	60	+	143	5	0	21	71	90	7
● 8 Cape Girardeau	14	3	*	74	62	17	71	39	31	Emerson	R	53	61	39	54	309	598	10	30	29	72	77	8
○ 9 Columbia	21	3	1	74	55	25	88	34	29	Volkmer	D	57	64	36	60	384	148	45	90	79	33	32	9
MONTANA																							
1 Missoula-Butte	18	*	1	69	49	38	89	26	29	Williams	D	62	58	40	69	229	154	85	70	92	20	5	1
● 2 Billings-Great Falls	9	*	1	68	45	35	91	25	29	Marlenee	R	53	63	36	68	223	251	0	10	7	82	95	2
NEBRASKA																							
1 Lincoln	7	1	1	69	43	31	93	28	30	Bereuter	R	64	67	32	56	93	228	15	40	21	72	59	1
○ 2 Omaha	6	7	2	64	12	31	104	25	28	Daub	R	59	67	32	59	58	509	5	10	7	78	86	2
3 Platte River	4	*	2	72	57	24	88	28	31	V. Smith	R	70	78	22	59	74	253	10	20	8	81	71	3
NEVADA																							
○ 1 Las Vegas	70	8	7	58	4	33	116	20	30	Bilbray	D	55	62	36	44	388	276	—	—	—	—	—	1
○ 2 Reno	59	2	5	62	26	37	115	25	30	Vucanovich	R	58	69	29	52	70	367	0	10	7	89	86	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE																							
○ 1 Manchester	27	*	1	66	46	35	94	35	30	Robert C. Smith	R	56	70	29	42	243	387	0	0	14	100	91	1
2 Nashua	22	*	*	69	50	35	96	35	30	Gregg	R	74	68	32	75	5	139	20	20	21	88	80	2
NEW JERSEY																							
1 Camden	9	13	3	70	12	25	91	33	30	Florio	D	76	55	45	58	322	+	70	100	100	15	10	1
2 Atlantic City	20	12	3	70	32	23	93	33	32	Hughes	D	71	62	38	58	242	138	75	80	79	22	9	2
○ 3 Sandy Hook	12	7	2	67	2	34	109	26	33	Howard	D	59	67	33	63	540	202	85	100	100	18	5	3
4 Trenton	4	12	2	68	11	30	103	27	32	C. Smith	R	62	59	41	63	304	338	45	100	93	33	45	4
5 Bergen County (North)	12	1	2	80	34	42	112	24	33	Roukema	R	75	71	29	69	23	305	30	60	43	28	36	5
6 Middlesex County	–3	8	5	66	0	28	112	32	31	Dwyer	D	70	59	41	58	115	18	80	100	100	22	9	6
7 Elizabeth	*	10	7	62	5	16	120	30	35	Rinaldo	R	80	65	37	51	8	388	45	100	100	28	55	7

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
NEW JERSEY (continued)																							
8 Paterson	–4	12	10	54	1	26	103	35	33	Roe	D	63	58	42	54	211	15	75	90	100	18	10	8
9 Bergen County (South)	–7	5	4	62	0	37	135	23	36	Torricelli	D	69	59	41	61	409	64	70	90	100	13	9	9
10 Newark	–9	54	12	28	0	21	77	38	29	Rodino	D	100	25	75	41	407	+	100	90	100	19	0	10
11 Essex County	–7	2	2	61	0	36	129	23	33	Gallo	R	68	69	31	66	168	660	35	30	57	61	68	11
12 Morristown	7	5	2	77	27	45	149	21	32	Courter	R	64	65	35	64	319	779	25	50	57	61	64	12
13 Cherry Hill	26	7	2	81	25	36	113	24	32	Saxton	R	65	65	35	66	61	325	30	30	57	56	64	13
14 Jersey City	–9	11	24	29	0	21	89	37	32	Guarini	D	73	53	47	49	305	62	80	100	93	17	14	14
NEW MEXICO																							
1 Albuquerque	32	2	33	64	7	42	96	24	28	Lujan	R	71	60	39	58	71	244	5	0	18	80	68	1
2 Las Cruces	18	3	29	68	29	30	79	32	28	Skeen	R	63	66	33	55	48	293	10	10	7	67	82	2
3 Santa Fe	36	1	37	73	48	32	76	31	26	Richardson	D	71	53	46	60	355	87	75	90	100	41	18	3
NEW YORK																							
1 Brookhaven	37	4	3	79	9	26	99	26	30	Hochbrueckner	D	51	66	34	59	416	275	—	—	—	—	—	1
2 Babylon-Islip	5	8	6	79	*	27	96	33	29	Downey	D	63	66	33	53	739	30	95	90	79	17	5	2
3 Huntington	–3	3	2	80	1	47	150	19	34	Mrazek	D	56	64	35	65	647	201	80	100	85	31	5	3
4 Oyster Bay	–9	3	3	86	0	37	125	23	33	Lent	R	65	64	36	65	12	337	20	40	46	64	64	4
5 Hempstead	–8	10	3	74	0	37	126	21	34	McGrath	R	65	60	39	63	66	294	20	60	43	69	55	5
6 Queens (Jamaica)	–6	47	8	55	0	23	86	26	32	Flake	D	68	33	67	45	359	55	—	—	—	—	—	6
7 Queens (Hollis-Kew Gardens)	–3	11	17	30	0	36	113	22	35	Ackerman	D	76	46	53	40	117	8	85	100	100	13	0	7
8 Queens (Flushing)	–7	9	12	38	0	33	117	21	36	Scheuer	D	90	47	53	48	65	+	95	100	100	18	0	8
9 Queens (Astoria)	–5	3	15	28	0	23	99	28	37	Manton	D	69	57	43	37	416	+	75	100	100	29	9	9
10 Brooklyn (Flatbush)	–7	4	7	34	0	29	102	22	36	Schumer	D	93	49	51	46	96	+	85	90	86	29	5	10
11 Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuy.)	–27	47	34	17	0	16	54	35	26	Towns	D	88	21	78	36	186	+	95	90	100	15	0	11
12 Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	–13	78	9	17	0	23	66	25	28	M. Owens	D	91	14	85	31	168	23	95	100	93	20	0	12
13 Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	–10	6	13	23	0	21	84	29	34	Solarz	D	82	47	53	35	418	+	90	100	86	20	5	13
14 Staten Island	9	4	6	50	0	27	103	23	33	Molinari	R	68	66	34	47	41	152	15	60	36	72	59	14
15 Manhattan (East Side)	–3	5	12	11	0	58	214	10	37	Green	R	58	39	60	44	395	709	70	70	64	29	18	15
16 Manhattan (Harlem)	–17	49	35	4	0	22	67	27	32	Rangel	D	98	16	84	39	375	+	100	80	93	11	0	16
17 Manhattan (West Side)	*	14	13	10	0	54	152	12	35	Weiss	D	86	25	74	50	243	13	95	100	79	25	0	17
18 Bronx (South)	–37	44	49	6	0	13	49	32	25	Garcia	D	94	19	81	36	314	+	95	100	100	17	0	18

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
	NEW YORK (continued)																							
	19 Bronx (East)	**1**	**11**	**13**	**26**	**0**	**24**	**96**	**25**	**36**	**Biaggi**	**D**	**90**	**52**	**48**	**45**	**258**	**+**	**65**	**100**	**100**	**21**	**18**	**19**
●	20 Westchester County	–5	14	5	51	2	44	151	18	35	DioGuardi	R	54	56	44	59	902	1,264	35	40	64	78	55	20
	21 Poughkeepsie	**11**	**6**	**3**	**69**	**34**	**37**	**111**	**25**	**31**	**Fish**	**R**	**76**	**68**	**32**	**61**	**6**	**218**	**45**	**80**	**57**	**44**	**32**	**21**
	22 Rockland County	14	6	4	69	22	41	117	22	32	Gilman	R	69	61	38	63	16	305	40	100	92	28	55	22
	23 Albany-Schenectady-Troy	**–4**	**4**	**1**	**58**	**11**	**34**	**99**	**22**	**32**	**Stratton**	**D**	**100**	**53**	**47**	**66**	**38**	**+**	**45**	**80**	**86**	**29**	**42**	**23**
	24 Saratoga	16	1	1	73	63	31	90	29	31	Solomon	R	71	69	31	65	79	154	0	0	21	83	81	24
	25 Utica	*****	**2**	**1**	**67**	**54**	**29**	**81**	**30**	**31**	**Boehlert**	**R**	**69**	**63**	**37**	**58**	**32**	**268**	**50**	**90**	**93**	**56**	**41**	**25**
	26 St. Lawrence County	3	1	1	71	63	26	75	34	29	D. Martin	R	100	65	34	57	+	76	15	60	42	79	74	26
●	**27 Syracuse**	**–1**	**5**	**1**	**63**	**22**	**36**	**98**	**27**	**29**	**Wortley**	**R**	**50**	**60**	**39**	**61**	**505**	**697**	**20**	**50**	**57**	**65**	**60**	**27**
	28 Binghamton	–4	3	2	66	48	33	90	28	30	McHugh	D	67	61	39	60	287	7	80	100	71	17	9	28
	29 Rochester-Finger Lakes	**2**	**4**	**1**	**68**	**49**	**32**	**98**	**33**	**30**	**Horton**	**R**	**70**	**61**	**39**	**84**	**21**	**96**	**75**	**100**	**100**	**31**	**10**	**29**
●	30 Rochester-Genesee	4	4	1	69	28	36	110	31	30	L. Slaughter	D	51	63	37	63	553	565	—	—	—	—	—	30
○	**31 Erie County**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**75**	**39**	**36**	**103**	**29**	**31**	**Kemp**	**R**	**57**	**62**	**38**	**63**	**234**	**2,614**	**15**	**0**	**31**	**58**	**89**	**31**
	32 Rochester-Niagara	–7	7	1	68	20	27	97	36	31	LaFalce	D	91	55	45	58	108	+	85	90	83	25	10	32
	33 Buffalo	**–17**	**17**	**2**	**53**	**2**	**23**	**86**	**35**	**31**	**Nowak**	**D**	**85**	**37**	**63**	**58**	**77**	**8**	**95**	**100**	**100**	**28**	**5**	**33**
○	34 Chautauqua County	1	1	1	73	57	27	82	35	31	Houghton	R	59	68	32	57	107	721	—	—	—	—	—	34
	NORTH CAROLINA																							
	1 Cape Hatteras	**13**	**32**	**1**	**68**	**70**	**24**	**73**	**37**	**29**	**W. Jones**	**D**	**70**	**57**	**43**	**50**	**87**	**47**	**55**	**80**	**77**	**40**	**22**	**1**
	2 Durham	10	36	1	61	51	25	78	37	30	Valentine	D	74	53	47	51	165	69	30	80	43	65	55	2
	3 Goldsboro	**13**	**25**	**2**	**67**	**63**	**21**	**70**	**42**	**27**	**Lancaster**	**D**	**64**	**61**	**39**	**43**	**440**	**61**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**3**
○	4 Raleigh	27	18	1	65	45	39	97	31	29	D. Price	D	56	60	40	58	856	789	—	—	—	—	—	4
●	**5 Winston-Salem**	**17**	**15**	**1**	**72**	**55**	**24**	**89**	**45**	**31**	**Neal**	**D**	**54**	**64**	**36**	**55**	**494**	**939**	**65**	**100**	**71**	**50**	**29**	**5**
●	6 Greensboro	10	19	1	67	35	31	96	40	31	Coble	R	50	65	35	51	563	585	10	0	36	89	81	6
	7 Fayetteville	**20**	**25**	**2**	**65**	**36**	**28**	**74**	**37**	**26**	**Rose**	**D**	**66**	**57**	**43**	**41**	**303**	**403**	**55**	**90**	**67**	**44**	**37**	**7**
○	8 Salisbury	18	18	1	75	66	22	82	50	31	Hefner	D	58	66	34	51	158	51	50	80	57	40	36	8
●	**9 Charlotte**	**15**	**21**	**1**	**64**	**27**	**37**	**102**	**33**	**30**	**McMillan**	**R**	**51**	**64**	**36**	**58**	**469**	**877**	**10**	**10**	**36**	**100**	**82**	**9**
○	10 Gastonia	16	9	1	64	53	22	83	52	30	Ballenger	R	57	70	30	52	196	462	—	—	—	—	—	10
●	**11 Asheville**	**16**	**5**	**1**	**72**	**72**	**25**	**79**	**45**	**33**	**Clarke**	**D**	**51**	**63**	**37**	**55**	**435**	**474**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**11**
	NORTH DAKOTA	6	*	*	69	51	35	88	24	28	Dorgan	D	76	65	34	67	392	73	70	90	71	29	27	AL
	OHIO																							
	1 Cincinnati (West)	**–2**	**14**	**1**	**60**	**5**	**30**	**101**	**29**	**29**	**Luken**	**D**	**62**	**65**	**35**	**61**	**261**	**128**	**80**	**90**	**86**	**25**	**19**	**1**
	2 Cincinnati (East)	–1	16	1	61	20	32	109	31	30	Gradison	R	71	64	35	60	20	68	15	20	7	94	59	2

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
OHIO (continued)																							
3 Dayton	**–8**	**16**	**1**	**63**	**5**	**29**	**100**	**31**	**30**	**T. Hall**	**D**	**74**	**56**	**43**	**54**	**76**	**48**	**65**	**90**	**64**	**44**	**9**	**3**
4 Lima	5	3	1	74	44	22	93	40	30	Oxley	R	75	74	26	59	+	184	0	10	7	100	95	4
5 Bowling Green	**8**	**2**	**3**	**76**	**56**	**23**	**95**	**43**	**28**	**Latta**	**R**	**64**	**67**	**32**	**60**	**144**	**269**	**5**	**0**	**27**	**86**	**91**	**5**
6 Sciota River	13	2	1	74	54	22	89	38	30	McEwen	R	72	68	31	58	21	248	5	10	31	79	86	6
7 Springfield	**4**	**5**	**1**	**72**	**43**	**24**	**93**	**36**	**30**	**DeWine**	**R**	**100**	**68**	**31**	**55**	**+**	**140**	**0**	**10**	**14**	**94**	**91**	**7**
8 Hamilton	11	3	1	74	40	23	96	39	29	Lukens	R	68	73	27	57	+	218	—	—	—	—	—	8
9 Toledo	**–1**	**11**	**2**	**68**	**9**	**28**	**104**	**32**	**29**	**Kaptur**	**D**	**77**	**51**	**48**	**59**	**318**	**47**	**75**	**100**	**93**	**33**	**5**	**9**
10 Zanesville	13	2	*	74	57	21	85	39	30	C. Miller	R	70	66	33	58	+	67	5	0	14	78	86	10
11 Ashtabula	**10**	**2**	**1**	**76**	**44**	**28**	**103**	**39**	**29**	**Eckart**	**D**	**74**	**59**	**40**	**59**	**348**	**276**	**65**	**90**	**86**	**22**	**14**	**11**
12 Columbus (East)	14	14	1	62	21	33	102	28	29	Kasich	R	73	65	33	62	23	425	10	20	29	89	82	12
13 Lorain	**13**	**5**	**2**	**75**	**29**	**24**	**98**	**41**	**29**	**Pease**	**D**	**63**	**58**	**40**	**59**	**415**	**257**	**75**	**90**	**79**	**17**	**18**	**13**
● 14 Akron	–6	10	1	70	8	30	105	31	31	Sawyer	D	54	51	49	59	481	404	—	—	—	—	—	14
15 Columbus (West)	**1**	**10**	**1**	**57**	**8**	**37**	**103**	**26**	**28**	**Wylie**	**R**	**64**	**66**	**31**	**59**	**320**	**338**	**15**	**50**	**31**	**71**	**64**	**15**
16 Canton	5	4	1	73	38	22	96	40	30	Regula	R	76	62	38	58	+	103	15	40	71	61	45	16
17 Youngstown	**–2**	**10**	**1**	**73**	**20**	**24**	**99**	**41**	**32**	**Traficant**	**D**	**72**	**42**	**57**	**61**	**91**	**91**	**95**	**100**	**100**	**28**	**9**	**17**
18 Steubenville	4	2	*	76	57	17	89	46	32	Applegate	D	100	53	46	57	84	+	65	80	93	35	23	18
○ **19 Cuyahoga County**	**–1**	**1**	*****	**74**	**2**	**38**	**135**	**25**	**35**	**Feighan**	**D**	**55**	**59**	**40**	**66**	**630**	**520**	**95**	**100**	**100**	**33**	**0**	**19**
20 Cleveland (West)	–15	2	2	64	0	21	102	37	32	Oakar	D	85	48	51	53	378	+	95	90	93	12	5	20
21 Cleveland (East)	**–20**	**58**	**1**	**48**	**0**	**29**	**95**	**32**	**31**	**Stokes**	**D**	**82**	**21**	**78**	**56**	**159**	**+**	**100**	**100**	**93**	**12**	**0**	**21**
OKLAHOMA																							
○ **1 Tulsa**	**14**	**9**	**1**	**66**	**12**	**36**	**107**	**30**	**30**	**Inhofe**	**R**	**56**	**70**	**29**	**61**	**119**	**410**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**—**	**1**
2 Muskogee	34	4	1	77	59	25	84	37	32	Synar	D	73	64	35	61	286	11	70	80	57	22	15	2
3 Little Dixie	**20**	**4**	**1**	**72**	**53**	**24**	**74**	**37**	**31**	**Watkins**	**D**	**78**	**62**	**38**	**55**	**211**	**+**	**40**	**80**	**64**	**29**	**41**	**3**
4 Lawton	24	6	3	69	26	33	89	32	27	McCurdy	D	76	69	30	53	176	+	35	70	42	80	38	4
5 Oklahoma City-Bartlesville	**17**	**5**	**2**	**69**	**12**	**42**	**116**	**26**	**31**	**M. Edwards**	**R**	**70**	**76**	**23**	**60**	**16**	**284**	**5**	**10**	**14**	**81**	**85**	**5**
6 Oklahoma City-Enid	4	9	2	71	35	27	93	34	31	English	D	100	69	30	54	150	+	35	50	50	61	48	6
OREGON																							
1 Portland (West)	**32**	**1**	**2**	**62**	**30**	**46**	**121**	**26**	**31**	**AuCoin**	**D**	**62**	**57**	**43**	**67**	**947**	**493**	**90**	**90**	**86**	**33**	**9**	**1**
2 Medford	34	*	3	70	52	33	92	31	31	Robert F. Smith	R	60	64	36	65	104	323	5	20	14	100	82	2
3 Portland (East)	**3**	**5**	**2**	**60**	**2**	**39**	**106**	**29**	**31**	**Wyden**	**D**	**86**	**47**	**53**	**61**	**243**	**+**	**80**	**100**	**79**	**28**	**14**	**3**
● 4 Eugene	27	*	2	67	43	36	96	32	30	DeFazio	D	54	54	46	62	296	334	—	—	—	—	—	4
5 Salem	**41**	*****	**2**	**68**	**34**	**40**	**102**	**29**	**29**	**D. Smith**	**R**	**60**	**59**	**41**	**65**	**87**	**312**	**0**	**10**	**15**	**88**	**95**	**5**

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
	PENNSYLVANIA																							
	1 Philadelphia (South)	–17	29	7	57	0	17	70	33	29	Foglietta	D	75	35	65	58	428	+	90	100	100	14	5	1
	2 Philadelphia (West)	–18	76	1	52	0	24	79	27	31	W. Gray	D	100	10	90	62	552	+	80	100	92	33	5	2
	3 Philadelphia (Northeast)	–7	7	1	73	0	19	94	30	35	Borski	D	61	54	46	65	392	446	75	90	100	33	10	3
	4 New Castle	6	2	*	76	58	21	92	43	31	Kolter	D	61	48	52	54	250	124	70	80	100	33	14	4
	5 Chester	10	10	1	69	30	34	111	31	31	Schulze	R	66	66	33	54	98	320	15	40	46	81	58	5
	6 Reading	4	1	1	75	46	17	93	46	34	Yatron	D	69	64	36	49	97	18	65	80	100	38	27	6
	7 Haverford	–8	5	1	74	1	32	117	25	33	Weldon	R	61	62	37	64	167	617	—	—	—	—	—	7
○	8 Bucks County	14	2	1	74	18	34	112	32	30	Kostmayer	D	55	64	36	61	651	353	90	100	100	39	5	8
	9 Altoona	9	1	*	75	70	15	82	45	31	Shuster	R	100	66	33	48	+	276	5	0	29	94	90	9
	10 Scranton	7	*	*	72	55	22	82	40	33	McDade	R	75	61	38	53	10	292	45	60	93	35	63	10
	11 Wilkes-Barre	3	1	*	71	35	19	82	43	35	Kanjorski	D	71	55	49	51	714	1,353	65	100	93	28	32	11
	12 Johnstown	5	1	*	75	46	20	92	40	32	Murtha	D	67	50	50	53	272	+	40	80	93	25	52	12
○	13 Schuylkill River	–3	6	1	69	4	42	139	22	35	Coughlin	R	58	60	40	62	455	703	45	60	71	61	41	13
	14 Pittsburgh	–18	19	1	52	0	24	94	26	33	Coyne	D	95	30	68	56	61	+	100	90	100	22	0	14
○	15 Allentown-Bethlehem	–8	1	2	71	26	25	104	41	33	Ritter	R	57	57	42	50	52	440	10	0	57	94	90	15
	16 Lancaster	13	2	2	70	51	22	96	41	31	Walker	R	75	74	26	50	+	76	0	0	14	94	86	16
	17 Harrisburg	7	6	1	69	46	22	92	37	31	Gekas	R	74	66	33	49	+	91	10	40	36	83	73	17
	18 Allegheny County (West)	–1	2	*	76	5	39	131	23	34	Walgren	D	63	58	41	65	557	984	85	90	86	41	14	18
	19 York	14	2	1	73	46	24	102	39	32	Goodling	R	73	67	32	51	19	47	25	50	43	88	35	19
	20 Allegheny County (East)	–8	5	*	71	13	21	100	37	35	Gaydos	D	100	37	61	57	119	+	55	100	100	31	44	20
	21 Erie	6	3	*	73	44	24	91	39	30	Ridge	R	81	53	46	54	+	267	35	40	64	61	41	21
	22 Washington	2	3	1	74	50	18	92	44	33	Murphy	D	100	43	57	48	118	+	70	90	93	33	19	22
○	23 Nittany Valley	6	1	*	73	6	22	85	40	30	Clinger	R	55	63	37	49	578	695	50	50	86	44	45	23
	RHODE ISLAND																							
○	1 Providence-Pawtucket	–3	2	2	55	6	28	96	36	32	St. Germain	D	58	50	49	56	799	340	80	80	100	35	5	1
	2 Providence-Warwick	2	3	2	63	20	28	93	35	31	Schneider	R	72	53	47	60	68	325	80	70	100	47	5	2
	SOUTH CAROLINA																							
●	1 Charleston	25	29	*	64	28	31	84	32	26	Ravenel	R	52	64	35	45	458	266	—	—	—	—	—	1
●	2 Columbia	25	32	*	67	34	36	85	29	27	Spence	R	54	62	36	45	180	295	10	90	43	76	77	2
	3 Anderson	20	20	1	75	55	23	81	48	30	Derrick	D	68	67	32	41	178	+	55	80	57	44	26	3
●	4 Greenville-Spartanburg	17	17	1	70	33	27	89	43	30	Patterson	D	52	70	30	44	594	640	—	—	—	—	—	4
	5 Rock Hill	13	29	1	74	61	21	76	50	29	Spratt	D	100	62	38	43	67	+	60	70	64	59	33	5
	6 Florence	24	37	1	72	66	22	70	41	28	Tallon	D	75	57	42	47	270	62	40	80	71	44	51	6

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
○	**SOUTH DAKOTA**	4	*	1	69	54	32	78	24	29	T. Johnson	D	59	63	37	66	431	483	—	—	—	—	—	AL
	TENNESSEE																							
	1 Johnson City-Kingsport	19	2	1	75	52	21	78	43	32	Quillen	R	70	71	28	49	8	459	20	30	38	60	77	1
	2 Knoxville	17	6	1	68	38	28	89	35	31	Duncan	R	76	64	35	51	+	328	10	50	21	72	62	2
●	3 Chattanooga	17	11	1	69	32	27	90	37	31	Lloyd	D	54	63	37	52	638	455	20	70	50	53	62	3
	4 Cumberland Plateau	22	4	1	76	74	15	70	49	31	Cooper	D	100	57	42	46	127	+	70	90	64	53	14	4
○	5 Nashville	8	20	1	59	6	33	102	27	30	Boner	D	58	52	48	52	909	190	50	100	100	18	30	5
	6 Murfreesboro	37	7	2	75	55	23	87	41	30	Gordon	D	77	59	41	51	254	3	75	80	86	39	9	6
	7 Shelby County (East)	47	11	1	74	49	28	91	35	29	Sundquist	R	72	66	34	56	+	282	5	0	14	72	82	7
	8 Shelby County (North)	12	18	1	70	51	18	76	42	36	E. Jones	D	81	57	43	48	109	+	45	70	62	40	29	8
	9 Memphis	–9	51	1	54	0	28	82	31	28	H. Ford	D	83	36	64	60	320	+	100	100	91	8	0	9
	TEXAS																							
	1 Texarkana	20	17	1	75	59	24	82	41	33	Chapman	D	100	61	38	55	838	+	40	67	39	50	38	1
	2 Lufkin	35	14	3	75	60	23	85	42	30	Wilson	D	67	58	41	53	340	48	35	60	92	27	50	2
	3 Dallas (North)	48	3	3	58	1	63	170	16	30	Bartlett	R	100	82	18	74	+	592	5	0	7	94	95	3
	4 Tyler	26	13	2	72	44	29	94	39	32	R. Hall	D	72	69	31	57	270	20	10	30	33	80	80	4
○	5 Dallas (East)	1	18	10	53	*	30	95	35	28	Bryant	D	59	59	41	45	994	350	65	90	93	44	23	5
○	6 Brazos County	57	10	5	72	46	31	94	34	29	Barton	R	56	70	30	63	896	1,034	0	0	7	94	95	6
	7 Houston (Northwest)	104	3	6	62	6	60	178	19	29	Archer	R	88	83	17	67	+	153	0	0	0	94	95	7
	8 Houston (Northeast)	66	15	11	70	10	31	108	38	26	Fields	R	68	50	40	52	20	575	5	0	0	94	95	8
	9 Beaumont-Galveston	15	20	7	68	9	35	109	34	29	Brooks	D	62	52	47	57	400	244	70	100	100	33	9	9
	10 Austin	41	9	15	55	19	46	98	23	27	Pickle	D	72	58	42	68	1,370	303	40	90	43	44	38	10
	11 Waco	25	13	8	63	31	29	80	33	28	Leath	D	100	66	34	47	84	+	45	10	50	50	57	11
	12 Fort Worth	6	15	9	64	4	31	97	37	29	Wright	D	69	58	41	52	1,081	270	80	60	100	31	14	12
	13 Amarillo	8	5	7	70	25	31	99	34	30	Boulter	R	65	72	28	54	53	744	5	0	14	89	90	13
●	14 Victoria	26	11	17	72	48	25	89	37	30	Sweeney	R	52	67	32	56	430	883	5	0	23	94	86	14
	15 McAllen	38	1	66	72	32	21	62	35	25	de la Garza	D	100	46	54	51	142	+	55	100	85	39	27	15
	16 El Paso	30	4	55	61	7	30	73	33	25	R. Coleman	D	66	57	43	39	511	539	70	100	86	56	35	16
	17 Abilene	9	3	9	71	42	26	90	36	32	Stenholm	D	100	68	32	52	219	+	5	30	21	78	81	17
	18 Houston Central	–6	39	27	43	0	24	80	41	27	Leland	D	90	28	72	39	207	+	100	100	93	12	0	18
	19 Lubbock	15	5	20	65	23	31	91	32	26	Combest	R	62	75	25	49	113	317	5	0	21	94	91	19

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

	District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
	TEXAS (continued)																							
	20 San Antonio	–6	9	56	58	0	23	65	35	26	Gonzalez	D	100	41	59	40	133	0	95	100	93	17	0	20
	21 San Angelo	32	3	16	70	24	40	112	27	31	Lamar Smith	R	61	78	22	67	345	1,043	—	—	—	—	—	21
	22 Houston (Southwest)	77	9	12	53	17	50	131	26	28	DeLay	R	72	70	30	52	+	295	0	0	7	94	100	22
	23 Laredo	58	4	51	69	18	31	74	29	25	Bustamante	D	100	59	41	49	391	+	50	100	100	20	33	23
	24 Dallas (South)	8	29	11	55	0	30	89	36	27	Frost	D	67	53	47	51	710	24	45	90	73	43	26	24
	25 Houston (South)	20	23	12	58	*	39	116	33	27	Andrews	D	100	53	47	50	134	+	50	70	64	61	45	25
	26 Tarrant County	87	3	4	72	11	52	124	24	28	Armey	R	68	76	23	68	134	559	0	0	7	100	100	26
	27 Corpus Christi	24	3	55	62	14	28	76	33	26	Ortiz	D	100	53	47	50	128	+	45	90	85	29	40	27
	UTAH																							
●	1 Ogden	31	1	4	74	23	43	83	31	24	Hansen	R	52	78	22	72	244	420	0	0	0	93	91	1
○	2 Salt Lake City	21	1	4	64	1	47	101	27	26	W. Owens	D	56	68	31	64	705	373	—	—	—	—	—	2
	3 Provo	70	*	3	75	23	43	76	37	22	Nielson	R	67	77	22	68	37	105	5	0	14	89	95	3
	VERMONT	15	*	1	69	66	35	85	31	29	Jeffords	R	89	58	41	64	+	87	60	90	71	56	14	AL
	VIRGINIA																							
○	1 Newport News	11	29	1	66	38	30	90	34	30	Bateman	R	56	62	37	55	348	602	0	20	7	78	68	1
●	2 Norfolk	10	21	2	54	1	36	94	26	26	Pickett	D	54	63	37	45	608	660	—	—	—	—	—	2
	3 Richmond	12	27	1	61	8	38	109	27	30	Bliley	R	69	65	35	61	225	816	5	30	14	88	73	3
	4 Portsmouth	7	37	1	67	32	24	83	39	30	Sisisky	D	100	56	43	55	54	+	45	80	57	56	48	4
	5 Danville	14	22	1	76	72	19	79	48	32	Daniel	D	82	65	33	52	124	+	5	30	23	88	86	5
	6 Roanoke	9	10	1	70	38	27	92	34	32	Olin	D	70	66	33	51	357	200	55	40	57	56	14	6
	7 Shenandoah Valley	31	12	1	70	64	29	95	33	30	F. Slaughter	R	100	68	31	54	+	208	0	10	21	94	91	7
	8 Alexandria	26	10	3	63	9	57	142	16	29	Parris	R	62	61	38	62	74	429	5	20	25	71	83	8
	9 Blacksburg	19	2	1	75	71	20	76	45	29	Boucher	D	100	58	41	51	263	+	70	90	86	20	6	9
	10 Arlington	15	6	4	55	7	61	163	13	31	Wolf	R	60	59	41	65	749	1,125	5	30	21	67	86	10
	WASHINGTON																							
●	1 Everett	22	1	1	71	9	49	133	26	31	J. Miller	R	51	57	42	70	397	592	40	50	36	67	55	1
	2 Bellingham	40	1	2	72	58	35	102	33	31	Swift	D	73	55	44	65	239	6	90	100	79	18	9	2
	3 Olympia	40	1	1	68	41	34	103	33	30	Bonker	D	74	53	45	60	195	+	70	90	79	40	11	3
	4 Yakima	26	1	7	67	42	33	97	30	29	S. Morrison	R	72	63	35	58	5	105	25	60	38	67	73	4
	5 Spokane	19	1	2	67	28	40	95	26	29	Foley	D	75	60	39	59	421	56	75	90	86	33	14	5

District Number / District Name	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	Median Age	Incumbent	Party	% Incumbent	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Democratic Spending	Republican Spending	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU	Dist. No.
WASHINGTON (continued)																							
6 Tacoma	15	6	2	61	15	35	100	31	38	Dicks	D	72	57	42	54	230	57	70	100	79	28	23	6
7 Seattle	–7	8	2	50	0	45	121	26	31	Lowry	D	73	41	58	60	171	66	95	100	71	6	5	7
8 Bellevue	29	1	1	73	18	49	132	27	29	Chandler	R	65	62	37	70	109	210	20	20	21	71	71	8
WEST VIRGINIA																							
1 Wheeling	6	2	1	74	48	21	90	42	32	Mollohan	D	100	57	43	56	216	+	50	100	100	24	35	1
2 Morgantown	21	3	1	74	80	20	77	40	30	Staggers	D	70	58	42	53	137	67	80	90	100	28	5	2
3 Charlestown	10	3	1	73	60	21	88	38	31	Wise	D	65	56	44	55	139	20	75	90	93	22	5	3
4 Huntington	11	6	1	73	68	19	81	43	29	Rahall	D	71	49	50	47	69	+	90	90	93	17	9	4
WISCONSIN																							
1 Racine-Kenosha	5	4	2	70	31	27	104	38	29	Aspin	D	75	55	45	70	498	10	50	100	80	42	24	1
2 Madison	10	1	1	63	40	40	102	24	29	Kastenmeier	D	56	49	51	66	386	271	100	100	86	17	0	2
3 Eau Claire-La Crosse	13	*	*	73	60	28	84	30	29	Gunderson	R	64	55	45	65	62	312	40	50	67	72	45	3
4 Milwaukee (South Side)	–1	*	3	62	0	27	110	36	31	Kleczka	D	100	48	52	60	94	+	75	90	86	25	9	4
5 Milwaukee (North Side)	–10	22	2	48	0	34	102	30	29	Moody	D	100	40	60	63	302	+	90	70	86	18	5	5
6 Oshkosh	8	*	1	75	49	23	93	38	30	Petri	R	100	62	38	63	+	106	5	40	0	94	73	6
7 Wausau Superior	12	*	*	76	59	24	84	33	30	Obey	D	63	53	47	66	462	124	85	90	93	12	10	7
8 Green Bay	12	*	*	75	45	25	91	35	29	Roth	R	67	63	37	67	84	284	0	10	8	81	82	8
9 Sheboygan	16	*	1	77	35	35	121	34	30	Sensenbrenner	R	78	65	35	69	11	179	0	10	7	89	77	9
WYOMING	41	1	4	69	37	38	108	36	27	Cheney	R	69	71	28	58	+	162	0	0	7	100	100	AL

+ No opposition in the general election. —Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

State Table

	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	% in Poverty	% Unemployment	Electoral Votes	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Incumbent	Party	Next Election	% Incumbent	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU
Alabama	13	23	1	70	40	25	81	39	19	11	9	61	38	53	**Heflin**	D	90	63	25	61	53	58	65
															Shelby	D	92	51	40	—	93	39	55
Alaska	33	3	2	58	36	44	139	24	11	11	3	67	30	77	**Stevens**	R	90	71	15	31	33	74	71
															Murkowski	R	92	55	20	6	36	65	78
Arizona	53	3	13	68	16	38	96	28	13	4	7	66	33	53	**McCain**	R	92	61	10	—	14	60	73
															De Concini	D	88	57	45	65	60	35	52
Arkansas	19	14	1	71	49	22	77	38	19	9	6	61	38	55	**Bumpers**	D	92	63	70	75	64	47	22
															Pryor	D	90	57	60	69	40	53	33
California	19	7	16	56	9	42	113	27	11	7	47	58	41	55	**Cranston**	D	92	51	95	100	87	32	10
															Wilson	R	88	52	5	37	7	89	83
Colorado	31	3	10	65	19	44	109	27	10	6	8	63	35	62	**Wirth**	D	92	51	75	—	92	54	14
															Armstrong	R	90	64	0	0	0	100	96
Connecticut	3	6	3	64	21	36	116	30	8	4	8	61	39	64	**Weicker**	R	88	50	80	71	62	50	14
															Dodd	D	92	65	85	100	73	44	17
Delaware	8	14	1	69	29	32	102	29	12	6	3	60	40	60	**Roth**	R	88	55	15	19	13	82	78
															Biden	D	90	60	80	96	87	38	5
District of Columbia	–16	66	3	36	0	42	123	15	19	8	3	14	85	43									
Florida	44	11	9	68	16	32	99	27	14	6	21	65	35	57	**Chiles**	D	88	62	40	75	47	58	52
															Graham	D	92	55	—	—	—	—	—
Georgia	19	24	1	65	38	28	88	35	17	6	12	60	40	47	**Nunn**	D	90	80	30	69	47	50	55
															Fowler	D	92	51	15	—	43	50	44
Hawaii	25	2	6	52	13	39	106	23	10	6	4	55	44	49	**Inouye**	D	92	74	90	75	100	38	5
															Matsunaga	D	88	80	85	88	73	39	13
Idaho	32	*	3	52	46	37	85	29	13	7	4	72	26	65	**McClure**	R	90	72	0	0	0	95	100
															Symms	R	92	52	0	0	0	100	100

	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	% in Poverty	% Unemployment	Electoral Votes	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Incumbent	Party	Next Election	% Incumbent	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU
Illinois	3	13	5	63	17	31	110	31	11	8	24	56	43	59	**Dixon**	D	92	65	65	94	80	58	43
															Simon	D	90	50	80	87	80	32	9
Indiana	6	7	1	71	36	25	98	38	10	8	12	62	38	58	**Lugar**	R	88	54	10	37	0	89	78
															Quayle	R	92	61	5	12	0	89	82
Iowa	3	1	1	72	41	29	98	30	10	6	8	53	46	63	**Grassley**	R	92	66	30	17	27	74	70
															Harkin	D	90	56	90	94	93	28	14
Kansas	5	5	2	70	33	34	100	30	10	5	7	66	33	60	**Dole**	R	92	70	0	19	0	89	91
															Kassebaum	R	90	76	45	44	21	58	41
Kentucky	14	7	1	70	49	21	81	37	18	9	9	60	39	53	**Ford**	D	92	74	55	69	67	53	35
															McConnell	R	90	50	0	13	7	89	83
Louisiana@	15	27	2	66	31	27	88	34	19	10	10	61	38	59	**Breaux**	D	92	53	30	—	100	25	63
															Johnston	D	90	86	50	69	67	44	35
Maine	13	*	*	71	53	29	79	37	13	5	4	61	39	69	**Cohen**	R	90	73	50	44	20	63	52
															Mitchell	D	88	61	85	100	87	32	14
Maryland	8	21	1	62	20	35	113	25	10	6	10	53	47	55	**Mikulski**	D	92	61	90	—	93	25	5
															Sarbanes	D	88	64	100	100	100	16	0
Massachusetts	1	3	2	58	16	36	102	28	10	3	13	51	48	60	**Kennedy**	D	88	61	80	92	77	47	10
															Kerry	D	90	55	90	100	93	32	9
Michigan	4	12	1	73	29	30	105	34	10	11	20	59	40	58	**Riegle**	D	88	58	95	100	93	26	9
															Levin	D	90	52	90	87	87	26	9
Minnesota	7	1	1	72	33	35	102	27	10	6	10	50	50	72	**Durenberger**	R	88	53	40	37	40	58	43
															Boschwitz	R	90	58	15	31	13	74	57
Mississippi	14	31	1	71	53	26	71	38	24	11	7	62	37	55	**Stennis**	D	88	64	35	62	50	56	40
															Cochran	R	90	61	5	25	0	89	78

—Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent. @In Louisiana, any congressional candidate receiving a majority in the nonpartisan primary election is automatically elected. The vote percentage is for the primary election.

	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	% in Poverty	% Unemployment	Electoral Votes	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Incumbent	Party	Next Election	% Incumbent	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU
Missouri	5	9	1	70	32	27	95	31	12	6	11	60	40	60	**Bond**	R	92	53	—	—	—	—	—
															Danforth	R	88	51	30	31	33	61	57
Montana	13	*	1	69	47	37	90	26	12	7	4	61	38	69	**Melcher**	D	88	54	90	100	86	26	17
															Baucus	D	90	55	80	94	60	37	13
Nebraska	6	3	1	68	37	33	95	27	11	4	5	71	29	58	**Karnes**	R	92	100	—	—	—	—	—
															Exon	D	90	52	35	56	53	32	48
Nevada	64	5	6	60	15	35	116	22	9	8	4	66	32	49	**Reid**	D	92	51	60	—	93	44	32
															Hecht	R	88	50	0	6	0	100	96
New Hampshire	25	*	1	68	48	35	95	35	9	3	4	69	31	59	**Humphrey**	R	90	59	0	0	0	89	86
															Rudman	R	92	66	10	31	0	84	83
New Jersey	3	11	6	62	11	32	111	29	10	5	16	60	39	60	**Bradley**	D	90	64	85	100	87	31	23
															Lautenberg	D	88	51	85	100	100	22	17
New Mexico	28	2	33	68	28	35	84	29	18	7	5	60	39	58	**Domenici**	R	90	72	5	31	13	79	83
															Bingaman	D	88	54	65	94	73	26	35
New York	–4	12	8	49	15	32	103	26	13	7	36	54	46	53	**Moynihan**	D	88	65	85	94	67	34	13
															D'Amato	R	92	57	35	31	53	56	70
North Carolina	16	20	1	68	52	27	84	41	15	7	13	62	38	52	**Helms**	R	90	52	0	0	0	95	100
															Sanford	D	92	52	—	—	—	—	—
North Dakota	6	*	*	69	51	35	88	24	13	5	3	65	34	67	**Burdick**	D	88	63	100	87	93	21	4
															Conrad	D	92	50	—	—	—	—	—
Ohio	1	9	1	68	27	27	100	35	10	9	23	59	40	59	**Glenn**	D	92	62	65	94	87	44	30
															Metzenbaum	D	88	57	100	100	93	21	4
Oklahoma	18	6	2	71	33	31	94	32	13	7	8	69	31	58	**Boren**	D	90	76	40	56	27	65	65
															Nickles	R	92	54	0	12	0	79	91
Oregon	26	1	2	65	32	39	103	29	11	9	7	56	44	64	**Hatfield**	R	90	67	75	44	47	39	30
															Packwood	R	92	64	60	50	40	53	33

	% Population Change	% Black	% Hispanic	% Owner Occupied	% Rural	% College Educated	Per Capita Income Index	% Blue Collar	% in Poverty	% Unemployment	Electoral Votes	% Reagan	% Mondale	% Turnout	Incumbent	Party	Next Election	% Incumbent	ADA	LCCR	AFL-CIO	USCC	ACU
Pennsylvania	1	8	1	70	31	24	97	36	11	8	25	53	46	55	**Heinz**	R	88	59	55	50	60	59	43
															Specter	R	92	57	75	61	87	44	33
Rhode Island	0	3	2	59	13	28	94	36	10	4	4	52	48	58	**Pell**	D	90	73	80	71	67	42	17
															Chafee	R	88	51	60	44	33	63	35
South Carolina	21	27	1	70	46	27	80	41	17	7	8	64	36	44	**Thurmond**	R	90	67	5	0	0	78	91
															Hollings	D	92	64	35	69	73	32	52
South Dakota	4	*	1	69	54	32	78	14	17	4	3	63	37	66	**Pressler**	R	90	75	10	6	33	68	86
															Daschle	D	92	52	80	—	64	22	18
Tennessee	17	14	1	69	40	25	85	38	17	8	11	58	42	52	**Sasser**	D	88	62	70	81	87	32	17
															Gore	D	90	61	70	100	87	32	9
Texas	27	11	18	64	20	34	99	32	15	5	29	64	36	54	**Bentsen**	D	88	59	45	50	33	68	50
															Gramm	R	90	59	0	0	0	89	100
Utah	38	1	4	71	16	44	86	31	10	6	5	75	25	68	**Garn**	R	92	73	0	12	7	100	94
															Hatch	R	88	58	5	17	13	95	96
Vermont	15	*	1	69	66	35	85	31	12	3	3	58	41	64	**Stafford**	R	88	50	60	53	50	25	43
															Leahy	D	92	64	85	91	87	29	9
Virginia	15	18	1	66	34	34	102	31	12	5	12	62	37	55	**Warner**	R	90	70	5	25	7	79	73
															Trible	R	88	51	5	25	7	79	78
Washington	21	2	2	66	26	40	110	29	10	9	10	56	43	63	**Adams**	D	92	51	—	—	—	—	—
															Evans	R	88	55	35	50	29	67	32
West Virginia	12	3	1	74	64	20	84	41	15	16	6	55	45	53	**Byrd**	D	88	69	75	91	93	16	26
															Rockefeller	D	90	52	75	100	93	32	13
Wisconsin	7	3	1	68	36	29	99	33	9	7	11	54	45	66	**Proxmire**	D	88	64	60	56	60	37	26
															Kasten	R	92	52	15	19	27	74	83
Wyoming	41	1	4	69	37	38	108	36	8	6	3	71	28	58	**Wallop**	R	88	57	0	0	0	95	100
															Simpson	R	90	78	10	13	0	76	86

—Freshman member; no roll call voting scores available. *Less than 0.5 percent.

Summary Tables

1988 CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION CALENDAR

State	Primary Date[1]	Candidate Filing Deadline
Alabama	June 7/June 28	April 8
Alaska	Aug. 23	June 1
Arizona	Sept. 13	June 30
Arkansas	March 8*/March 22	Jan. 5
California	June 7*	March 11
Colorado	Aug. 9	June 15
Connecticut	Sept. 14	Aug. 13 (D)/ Aug. 6 (R)[2]
Delaware	Sept. 10	July 29
Florida	Sept. 6/Oct. 4	July 15
Georgia	Aug. 9/Aug. 30	June 3
Hawaii	Sept. 17	July 19
Idaho	May 24	April 15
Illinois	March 15*	Dec. 14, 1987
Indiana	May 3*	March 4
Iowa	June 7	April 1
Kansas	Aug. 2	June 10
Kentucky	May 24	Feb. 24
Louisiana	Oct. 1/Nov. 8[3]	July 29
Maine	June 14	April 1
Maryland	March 8*	Dec. 28, 1987
Massachusetts	Sept. 20	June 7
Michigan	Aug. 2	May 31
Minnesota	Sept. 13	July 19
Mississippi	March 8*/March 29	Jan. 8
Missouri	Aug. 2	May 31
Montana	June 7*	March 24
Nebraska	May 10*	March 11
Nevada	Sept. 6	July 6
New Hampshire	Sept. 13	June 10
New Jersey	June 7*	April 14
New Mexico	June 7*	March 1
New York	Sept. 13	June 10
North Carolina	May 3/May 31	Feb. 1
North Dakota	June 14*	April 20
Ohio	May 3*	Feb. 18
Oklahoma	Aug. 23/Sept. 20	July 13
Oregon	May 17*	March 8
Pennsylvania	April 26*	Feb. 16
Rhode Island	Sept. 13	June 30
South Carolina	June 13/June 28	April 30
South Dakota	June 7/June 21	April 5
Tennessee	Aug. 4	June 2
Texas	March 8*/April 12	Jan. 4
Utah	Sept. 13	April 15
Vermont	Sept. 13	July 18
Virginia	June 14	April 15
Washington	Sept. 20	July 29
West Virginia	May 10*	Feb. 6
Wisconsin	Sept. 13	July 12
Wyoming	Aug. 16	June 17

Source: Federal Election Commission

NOTES
*Presidential primary held on the same day.
[1]Where two dates are listed, the first date is the primary, and the second is the runoff primary. Runoff primaries are required in these states when no candidate wins 50 percent plus one of the total vote in the primary.
[2]Connecticut's filing deadline falls 14 days after each party's state convention. The Democratic convention is scheduled for July 30, 1988, and the Republican convention begins July 22.
[3]Louisiana's primary includes all candidates of both parties. The top two vote-getters in each race meet in the general election, regardless of party. A candidate who receives more than 50 percent of the primary vote wins and does not have to enter the general election.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS IN WHICH BLACKS OR HISPANICS MAKE UP 20 PERCENT OR MORE OF THE POPULATION

State, District Number and Name	*Percent Black*	*Percent Hispanic*
ALABAMA		
1 Mobile	28%	*
2 Montgomery	27	*
3 Anniston	25	*
6 Birmingham	31	*
7 Tuscaloosa	30	*
ARIZONA		
1 Phoenix-Yuma	*	30%
ARKANSAS		
4 Pine Bluff	25	*
CALIFORNIA		
8 Oakland-Berkeley	24	*
10 San Jose	*	24
15 Modesta	*	20
17 Fresno-Visalia	*	23
18 Fresno-Stockton	*	21
19 Santa Barbara	*	21
24 Los Angeles (Hollywood)	*	22
25 Los Angeles (East)	*	57
26 Los Angeles-San Fernando	*	20
28 Los Angeles-Inglewood	37	24
29 Los Angeles-Downey	51	32
30 San Gabriel	*	48
31 Compton-Carson	31	21
34 Norwalk	*	42
36 San Bernadino-Ontario	*	20
38 Santa Ana Garden Grove	*	25
44 San Diego (Central)	*	22
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	66	*
FLORIDA		
2 Tallahassee	22	*
3 Jacksonville	25	*
16 Hollywood	*	20
17 Miami (North)	22	24
18 Miami (Central)	*	50
19 Miami (South)	*	21
GEORGIA		
1 Savannah	30	*
2 Albany	32	*
3 Columbus	31	*
5 Atlanta	60	*
8 Macon	32	*
10 Augusta	23	*
ILLINOIS		
1 Chicago (South Side)	90	*
2 Chicago (Lake Calumet)	66	*
5 Chicago-Cicero-Berwyn	*	21
7 Chicago (West Side)	60	*
8 Chicago (North Side)	*	25
INDIANA		
1 Gary	22	*
10 Indianapolis	25	*
LOUISIANA		
2 New Orleans-Jefferson	52	*
LOUISIANA, *continued*		
4 Shreveport	29	*
5 Monroe	28	*
6 Baton Rouge	23	*
8 Alexandria	36	*
MARYLAND		
5 Prince George's County	31	*
7 Baltimore (West)	70	*
MICHIGAN		
1 Detroit-Highland Park	66	*
13 Detroit (Central)	67	*
MISSISSIPPI		
1 Tupelo	21	*
2 Mississippi Delta	53	*
3 Meridian	28	*
4 Jackson	37	*
MISSOURI		
1 St. Louis (North)	46	*
5 Kansas City	20	*
NEW JERSEY		
10 Newark	54	*
14 Jersey City	*	24
NEW MEXICO		
1 Albuquerque	*	33
2 Las Croces	*	29
3 Santa Fe	*	37
NEW YORK		
6 Queens (Jamaica)	47	*
11 Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuy.)	47	34
12 Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	78	*
16 Manhattan (Harlem)	49	35
18 Bronx (South)	44	49
NORTH CAROLINA		
1 Cape Hatteras	32	*
2 Durham	36	*
3 Goldsboro	25	*
7 Fayetteville	25	*
9 Charlotte	21	*
OHIO		
21 Cleveland (East)	58	*
PENNSYLVANIA		
1 Philadelphia (South)	29	*
2 Philadelphia (West)	76	*
SOUTH CAROLINA		
1 Charleston	29	*
2 Columbia	32	*
3 Anderson	20	*
5 Rock Hill	29	*
6 Florence	37	*
TENNESSEE		
5 Nashville	20	*
9 Memphis	51	*
TEXAS		
9 Beaumont-Galveston	20	*

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS IN WHICH BLACKS OR HISPANICS MAKE UP 20 PERCENT OR MORE OF THE POPULATION, *continued*

State, District Number and Name	Percent Black	Percent Hispanic
TEXAS, *continued*		
15 McAllen	*	66
16 El Paso	*	55
18 Houston Central	39	27
19 Lubbock	*	20
20 San Antonio	*	56
23 Laredo	*	51
24 Dallas (South)	29	*
25 Houston (South)	23	*
27 Corpus Christi	*	55
VIRGINIA		
1 Newport News	29	*
2 Norfolk	21	*
3 Richmond	27	*
4 Portsmouth	37	*
5 Danville	22	*
WISCONSIN		
5 Milwaukee (South Side)	22	*

TOTALS

Number of districts in which blacks make up 20 percent or more of the population—71

Number of districts in which Hispanics make up 20 percent or more of the population—37

*Less than 20 percent

MEMBERS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS, 100TH CONGRESS

Member	*State*	*District No.*
Dellums	California	8
Dixon	California	28
Hawkins	California	29
Dymally	California	31
Fauntroy	District of Columbia	AL
Lewis	Georgia	5
Hayes	Illinois	1
Savage	Illinois	2
Collins	Illinois	7
Mfume	Maryland	7
Conyers	Michigan	1
Crockett	Michigan	13
Espy	Mississippi	2
Clay	Missouri	1
Wheat	Missouri	5
Flake	New York	6
Towns	New York	11
Owens	New York	12
Rangel	New York	16
Stokes	Ohio	21
Gray	Pennsylvania	2
Ford	Tennessee	9
Leland	Texas	18

MEMBERS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL HISPANIC CAUCUS, 100TH CONGRESS

Member	*State*	*Dist. No.*
Continental United States		
Coehlo	California	15
Roybal	California	25
Martinez	California	30
Torres	California	34
Lujan	New Mexico	1
Richardson	New Mexico	3
Garcia	New York	18
Garza	Texas	15
Gonzalez	Texas	20
Bustamante	Texas	23
Ortiz	Texas	27
Territories		
Blaz	Guam	AL
Foster	Puerto Rico	AL
DeLugo	Virgin Islands	AL

WOMEN IN THE 100TH CONGRESS

Member	*State*	*District No.*
Senate		
Kassebaum	Kansas	N.A.*
Mikulski	Maryland	N.A.
House		
Pelosi	California	5
Boxer	California	6
Schroeder	Colorado	1
Kennelly	Connecticut	1
Johnson	Connecticut	6
Saiki	Hawaii	1
Collins	Illinois	7
Martin	Illinois	7
Mayers	Kansas	3
Boggs	Louisiana	2
Snowe	Maine	2
Bentley	Maryland	2
Byron	Maryland	6
Morella	Maryland	8
Smith	Nebraska	3
Vucanovich	Nevada	2
Roukema	New Jersey	5
Slaughter	New York	13
Kaptur	Ohio	9
Oakar	Ohio	20
Schneider	Rhode Island	2
Patterson	South Carolina	4
Lloyd	Tennessee	3

*N.A. = Not Applicable

PARTISAN CONTROL OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF BLACKS IN THE DISTRICTS, U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Percentage of Blacks in District	*Democratic Reps.**		*Republican Reps.*	
	Number	*Percent*	*Number*	*Percent*
Less than 10%	145	50%	145	50%
10–19%	52	69	23	31
20–29%	26	79	7	21
30–39%	15	88	2	12
40% or more	21	100	0	0
TOTAL	259		177	

*Includes D.C. delegate

Highlight Tables

MOST BLACK

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Black
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	90.1
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	78.2
Pa.	2	Philadelphia (West)	75.7
Md.	7	Baltimore (West)	69.6
Mich.	13	Detroit (Central)	67.4
Ill.	2	Chicago (Lake Calumet)	66.4
Mich.	1	Detroit-Highland Park	66.1
D.C.	--	At-large	65.8
Ga.	5	Atlanta	60.0
Ill.	7	Chicago (West Side)	59.8

MOST HISPANIC

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Hispanic
Tex.	15	McAllen	66.1
Cal.	25	Los Angeles (East)	57.7
Tex.	20	San Antonio	55.8
Tex.	27	Corpus Christi	55.4
Tex.	16	El Paso	54.9
Fla.	18	Miami (Central)	50.3
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	48.4
Tex.	23	Laredo	48.0
Cal.	30	San Gabriel	47.7
Cal.	34	Norwalk	41.7

MOST ASIAN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Asian*
Haw.	1	Honolulu	64.9
Haw.	2	Oahu-Outer Islands	56.0
Cal.	5	San Francisco	22.3
Cal.	6	Marin County	12.3
Cal.	24	Los Angeles-Hollywood	11.8
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	10.0
Cal.	10	San Jose-Fremont	.9.8
Wash.	7	Seattle	7.3
N.Y.	7	Queens (Hollis-Kew Gdens)	6.9
Cal.	3	Sacramento (West)	6.3

*Asians and Pacific Islanders as a percentage of the *total* population.

MOST NATIVE AMERICAN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% N.A.*
N.M.	3	Santa Fe	20.9
Alaska	--	At-large	16.0
Ariz.	4	Phoenix-Scottsdale	15.4
Okla.	2	Muskogee	11.6
Okla.	3	Lawton	7.6
N.C.	7	Fayetteville	7.6
Mont.	2	Billings-Great Falls	6.7
S.D.	--	At-large	6.5
Ariz.	2	Phoenix-Yuma	5.2
Ariz.	3	Phoenix-Flagstaff	5.1

*American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts as a percentage of the *total* population.

MOST ENGLISH

State	C.D. No.	Location	% English*
Utah	1	Ogden	56.0
Utah	3	Provo	55.3
Utah	2	Salt Lake City	50.7
Ky.	7	Ashland	44.2
Idaho	2	Boise-Pocatello	43.1
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	41.6
Me.	2	Lewiston-Bangor	40.6
Va.	9	Blacksburg	40.5
Me.	1	Portland	40.1
S.C.	1	Charleston	39.4

*Percentage of the total population with one or both parents of English ancestry.

MOST IRISH

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Irish*
Mass.	11	Bost.-Quincy-Brock.	37.7
Pa.	7	Haverford	36.1
Mass.	7	Medford-Malden	32.8
Pa.	3	Philadelphia (N.E.)	30.9
Ill.	3	Chicago-Oak Lawn	28.9
Mass.	9	Boston-Taunton	28.9
Mass.	8	Bost.-Cambridge-Walth.	27.8
Mass.	3	Worcester	27.8
Pa.	18	Allegheny County (W.)	26.9
N.J.	3	Sandy Hook	26.8

*Precentage of the total population with one or both parents of Irish ancestry.

MOST FRENCH

State	C.D. No.	Location	% French*
La.	7	Lake Charles	39.1
La.	3	Metairie	38.1
Vt.	--	At-large	28.3
N.Y.	26	St. Lawrence County	27.6
Mass.	2	Springfield	27.0
La.	8	Alexandria	27.0
Me.	2	Lewiston-Bangor	26.5
N.H.	2	Nashua	26.2
N.H.	1	Manchester	25.3
Mass.	1	Berkshire Hills	23.5

*Percentage of the total population with one or both parents of French ancestry.

MOST ITALIAN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Italian*
N.Y.	14	Staten Island	38.6
N.J.	11	Essex County	29.6
N.Y.	2	Babylon-Islip	28.7
N.Y.	13	Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	27.5
Mass.	7	Medford-Malden	27.2
N.Y.	19	Bronx (East)	25.9
N.J.	9	Bergen County (South)	25.3
Conn.	3	New Haven	25.0
R.I.	2	Providence-Warwick	24.7
N.Y.	20	Westchester County	24.4

*Percentage of the total population with one or both parents of Italian ancestry.

MOST GERMAN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% German*
Wis.	6	Oshkosh	64.0
Wis.	9	Sheboygan	63.4
Iowa	2	Cedar Rapids	55.4
Minn.	2	Minnesota River	55.0
Pa.	19	York	54.6
Pa.	16	Lancaster	53.8
Ohio	5	Bowling Green	53.6
Neb.	1	Lincoln	52.9
Wis.	8	Green Bay	51.9
Wis.	2	Madison	51.6

*Percentage of the total population with one or both parents of German ancestry.

MOST POLISH

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Polish*
Wis.	4	Milwaukee (South)	25.7
N.Y.	33	Buffalo	24.6
Mich.	14	Detroit-Sterling Hts.	21.9
Ill.	5	Chicago-Cicero-Berwyn	20.5
Pa.	11	Wilkes-Barre	20.0
Ill.	11	Chicago (N.W. Side)	18.7
Ill.	8	Chicago (North Side)	18.0
Ill.	3	Chicago-Oak Lawn	16.5
Mich.	12	Lake St. Clair	15.3
N.J.	6	Middlesex County	15.2

*Percentage of the total population with one or both parents of Polish ancestry.

HIGHEST INCOME

State	C.D. No.	Location	Income Index
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	214.4
Cal.	23	Los Angeles-Beverly Hills	180.4
Tex.	7	Houston (Northwest)	178.0
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	170.0
Md.	8	Montgomery County	167.5
Mich.	18	Farmington Hills	167.2
Ill.	10	Waukegan	163.2
Va.	10	Arlington	162.6
Cal.	40	Newport Beach	158.0
Cal.	42	Torrance	157.0

LOWEST INCOME

State	C.D. No.	Location	Income Index
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	48.7
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	54.4
Miss.	2	Mississippi Delta	61.0
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	61.1
Tex.	15	McAllen	61.7
Tex.	20	San Antonio	64.7
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Hts.)	66.4
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	67.2
Ark.	1	Jonesboro	67.4
Miss.	1	Tupelo	68.1

MOST PERSONS IN POVERTY

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Poor*
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	43.2
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	39.4
Miss.	2	Mississippi Delta	34.4
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	33.4
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	31.4
Tex.	15	McAllen	30.7
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	29.0
Ill.	7	Chicago (West Side)	28.9
Pa.	1	Philadelphia (South)	28.5
Pa.	2	Philadelphia (West)	27.7

*Percentage of the population living in households with an annual income below the poverty line (1979).

FEWEST PERSONS IN POVERTY

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Poor*
Ill.	13	Downers Grove	2.8
Ill.	6	Des Plaines	3.1
Mich.	18	Farmington Hills	3.3
Ohio	19	Cuyahoga County	3.4
Minn.	3	Bloomington	3.7
N.J.	12	Morristown	3.7
N.Y.	3	Huntington	3.8
Ill.	12	Palatine	3.9
Mo.	2	Florissant	4.0
Pa.	18	Allegheny County (West)	4.0

*Percentage of the population living in households with an annual income below the poverty line (1979).

MOST HOMEOWNERS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Owner-Occupied
N.Y.	4	Oyster Bay	85.8
N.J.	13	Cherry Hill	80.9
N.J.	5	Bergen County (North)	80.0
Mich.	10	Midland	79.9
N.Y.	3	Huntington	79.9
Minn.	6	Anoka County	79.4
Mich.	14	Detroit-Sterling Hts.	79.2
Mich.	9	Muskegon	79.1
N.Y.	2	Babylon-Islip	78.6
N.Y.	1	Brookhaven	78.5

FEWEST HOMEOWNERS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Owner-Occupied
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	4.0
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	5.6
N.Y.	17	Manhattan (West Side)	10.2
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	10.7
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant	16.5
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Hts.)	16.8
N.Y.	13	Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	22.6
Cal.	24	Los Angeles-Hollywood	23.4
N.Y.	19	Bronx (East)	26.3
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	27.9

MOST BLUE COLLAR

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Blue Collar
N.C.	10	Gastonia	51.9
Ala.	4	Gadsden	50.3
N.C.	8	Salisbury	49.8
S.C.	5	Rock Hill	49.8
Tenn.	4	Cumberland Plateau	48.5
S.C.	3	Anderson	48.4
Va.	5	Danville	48.0
Ohio	18	Steubenville	46.3
Miss.	1	Tupelo	46.3
Ky.	7	Ashland	46.3

FEWEST BLUE COLLAR

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Blue Collar
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	10.2
N.Y.	17	Manhattan (West Side)	11.8
Va.	10	Arlington	12.7
D.C.	--	At-large	14.6
Va.	8	Alexandria	15.5
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	15.7
Ill.	9	Chicago-Evanston	16.0
Cal.	23	Los Angeles-Beverly Hills	16.2
Cal.	5	San Francisco	16.4
Cal.	8	Oakland-Berkeley	16.7

MOST COLLEGE EDUCATED

State	C.D. No.	Location	% College Educated
Md.	8	Montgomery County	64.2
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	62.5
Va.	10	Arlington	61.0
Tex.	7	Houston (Northwest)	60.3
Cal.	40	Newport Beach	59.6
Cal.	42	Torrance	58.5
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	58.2
Cal.	8	Oakland-Berkeley	57.6
Va.	8	Alexandria	56.5
Cal.	41	San Diego (North)	55.5

FEWEST COLLEGE EDUCATED

State	C.D. No.	Location	% College Educated
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	13.4
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	13.5
Ky.	7	Ashland	15.2
Tenn.	4	Cumberland Plateau	15.2
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	15.8
Pa.	9	Altoona	16.3
Ala.	4	Gadsden	16.3
Ill.	5	Chicago-Cicero-Berwyn	16.3
Pa.	1	Philadelphia (South)	16.6
Ohio	18	Steubenville	16.6

MOST WORKING WOMEN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Working Women*
Md.	5	Prince George's County	62.6
Va.	10	Arlington	62.2
Minn.	3	Bloomington	62.2
Tex.	22	Houston (Southwest)	62.0
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	62.0
Va.	8	Alexandria	62.0
Minn.	6	Anoka County	61.7
Cal.	13	San Jose-Santa Clara	61.5
Cal.	27	Los Angeles-Santa Monica	61.2
Colo.	2	Boulder	61.0

*Percentage of women aged 16 years and older in the labor force.

FEWEST WORKING WOMEN

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Working Women*
Ky.	7	Ashland	31.6
W. Va.	4	Huntington	31.9
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	35.6
Pa.	22	Washington	36.2
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	36.5
W. Va.	2	Morgantown	37.7
W. Va.	3	Charleston	38.0
Fla.	9	Clearwater	38.1
W. Va.	1	Wheeling	38.3
Fla.	13	Sarasota	38.7

*Percentage of women aged 16 years and older in the labor force.

MOST POPULATION GROWTH

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Population Change
Fla.	14	Palm Beach	126.3
Tex.	7	Houston (Northwest)	103.6
Cal.	43	Oceanside	103.2
Fla.	9	Clearwater	91.6
Ariz.	3	Phoenix-Flagstaff	90.8
Tex.	26	Tarrant County	87.3
Fla.	13	Sarasota	86.5
Tex.	22	Houston (Southwest)	76.9
Fla.	16	Hollywood	74.6
Fla.	6	Gainesville	70.9

MOST POPULATION LOSS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Population Change
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	-37.0
Mich.	13	Detroit (Central)	-30.8
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	-26.5
Mo.	1	St. Louis (North)	-20.7
Ohio	21	Cleveland (East)	-19.7
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	-18.9
Pa.	14	Pittsburgh	-17.6
Pa.	2	Philadelphia (West)	-17.5
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	-16.7
Pa.	1	Philadelphia (South)	-16.6

HIGHEST POPULATION DENSITY

State	C.D. No.	Location	Population/ Square Mile
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	73,772.7
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	64,550.6
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	46,998.5
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	39,854.3
N.Y.	17	Manhattan (West Side)	39,710.7
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	34,544.3
N.Y.	13	Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	30,523.9
N.Y.	9	Queens (Astoria)	24,578.2
N.Y.	7	Queens (Hollis-Kew Gdens)	23,588.7
N.Y.	10	Brooklyn (Flatbush)	22,310.4

LOWEST POPULATION DENSITY

State	C.D. No.	Location	Population/ Square Mile
Nev.	2	Reno	3.8
Mont.	2	Billings-Great Falls	4.2
Wyo.	--	At-large	4.8
Mont.	1	Missoula-Butte	7.3
Ore.	2	Medford	7.5
N.M.	3	Santa Fe	7.7
N.M.	2	Las Cruces	7.9
Neb.	3	Platte River	8.8
Colo.	3	Pueblo	9.1
S.D.	--	At-large	9.1

MOST RURAL

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Rural
Ky.	5	Boone Forest	81.1
W. Va.	2	Morgantown	79.5
Ky.	7	Ashland	78.8
Ga.	9	Dalton	77.7
Tenn.	4	Cumberland Plateau	73.5
Md.	1	Chesapeake Bay	72.7
N.C.	11	Asheville	72.5
Va.	5	Danville	72.3
Mich.	10	Midland	71.5
Va.	9	Blacksburg	70.5

MOST AGRICULTURAL

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Agricultural*
Minn.	2	Minnesota River	23.8
Iowa	5	Council Bluffs	18.9
Iowa	6	Sioux City	18.5
Neb.	3	Platte River	17.5
S.D.	--	At-large	16.3
Minn.	7	St. Cloud	16.0
N.D.	--	At-large	15.9
Neb.	1	Lincoln	14.6
Iowa	3	Waterloo	14.6
Wis.	3	Eau Claire-LaCrosse	14.4

*Percentage of the population living on farms in rural areas.

HIGHEST REAGAN SUPPORT

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Reagan
Tex.	7	Houston (Southwest)	82.3
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	81.8
Utah	1	Ogden	78.3
Neb.	3	Platte River	78.2
Utah	3	Provo	78.0
Cal.	39	Anaheim-Fullerton	77.9
Tex.	21	San Angelo	77.9
La.	1	New Orleans-St. Tammany	77.6
Idaho	2	Boise-Pocatello	77.3
Tex.	26	Tarrant County	77.1

HIGHEST MONDALE SUPPORT

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Mondale
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	95.1
Pa.	2	Philadelphia (West)	89.6
D.C.	--	At-Large	86.2
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	85.9
Mich.	1	Detroit-Highland Park	85.9
Mich.	13	Detroit (Central)	84.9
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	84.0
Ill.	2	Chicago (Lake Calumet)	83.7
Md.	7	Baltimore (West)	82.1
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	80.9

HIGHEST REPUBLICAN CAMPAIGN SPENDING

State	C.D. No.	Candidate	Republican Spending
N.Y.	31	Kemp	$2,613,605
Penn.	11	Holtzman*	1,353,170
N.Y.	20	DioGuardi	1,264,167
Cal.	38	Dornan	1,174,637
Va.	10	Wolf	1,124,866
Md.	2	Bentley*	1,070,161
Tex.	21	Smith	1,062,154
Tex.	6	Barton	1,034,515
Ariz.	4	Kyl	1,010,914
N.C.	5	Epperson*	939,377

*Defeated

HIGHEST DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN SPENDING

State	C.D. No.	Candidate	Democratic Spending
Mass.	8	Kennedy	$1,800,781
Md.	8	Bainum*	1,500,531
Fla.	18	Pepper	1,395,549
Tex.	10	Pickle	1,369,912
Cal.	8	Dellums	1,223,490
Tex.	12	Wright	1,098,252
Md.	2	Townsend*	1,071,713
Cal.	5	Pelosi	1,033,072
Tex.	5	Bryant	994,285
Conn.	2	Gejdenson	987,167

*Defeated

HIGHEST TURNOUT

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Turnout 1984
N.Y.	29	Rochester-Finger Lakes	83.7
Minn.	6	Anoka County	77.8
N.H.	2	Nashua	75.0
Mich.	18	Farmington Hills	74.6
Mo.	2	Florissant	74.1
Tex.	3	Dallas (North)	73.9
Alaska	--	At-large	73.9
Fla.	13	Sarasota	72.3
Maine	1	Portland	72.2
Utah	1	Ogden	71.8

LOWEST TURNOUT

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Turnout 1984
Cal.	25	Los Angeles (East)	30.2
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Heights)	30.6
N.Y.	13	Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	34.9
Cal.	30	San Gabriel	35.6
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	35.7
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	36.3
Fla.	18	Miami (Central)	36.3
N.Y.	9	Queens (Astoria)	36.7
Ariz.	2	Phoenix-Yuma	36.9
Cal.	24	Los Angeles (Hollywood)	37.1

MOST ELDERLY

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Elderly*
Fla.	8	St. Petersburg	34.1
Fla.	13	Sarasota	33.1
Fla.	14	Palm Beach	30.7
Fla.	9	Clearwater	30.4
Fla.	18	Miami (Central)	29.9
Fla.	15	Fort Lauderdale	28.3
Fla.	12	Fort Pierce	25.2
Fla.	10	Lakeland	24.1
Fla.	6	Gainesville	24.0
Kan.	5	Emporia	23.4

*Percentage of the voting-age population aged 65 years and over.

MOST COLLEGE STUDENTS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% College Students*
Mass.	8	Bost.-Cambridge-Walth.	21.8
Tex.	10	Austin	17.7
Mich.	13	Detroit (Central)	16.6
Cal.	8	Oakland-Berkeley	16.0
Mich.	6	Lansing-Pontiac	15.7
Cal.	41	San Diego (North)	15.4
Tex.	1	Texarkana	15.3
Mich.	2	Ann Arbor	15.1
Ill.	19	Champaign-Urbana	14.5
Utah	3	Provo	14.2

*Percentage of the voting-age population enrolled in college.

MOST GOVERNMENT WORKERS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Government Workers*
D.C.	--	At-large	40.3
Md.	5	Prince George's County	38.3
Va.	8	Alexandria	36.5
Alaska	--	At-large	33.5
Fla.	2	Tallahassee	33.2
Md.	4	Annapolis	32.5
N.M.	3	Santa Fe	32.4
Md.	7	Baltimore (West)	31.8
Cal.	3	Sacramento (East)	31.6
Va.	10	Arlington	31.5

*Percentage of employed persons 16 years old and over working for federal, state, and local government.

MOST MASS TRANSIT USERS

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Mass Transit Users*
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	70.6
N.Y.	12	Brooklyn (Crown Hts.)	69.5
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	68.8
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	64.7
N.Y.	17	Manhattan (West Side)	60.2
N.Y.	9	Queens (Astoria)	58.6
N.Y.	13	Brooklyn (Bensonhurst)	58.3
N.Y.	7	Queens (Hollis-Kew Gdens)	54.0
N.Y.	10	Brooklyn (Flatbush)	53.8
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	52.1

*Percentage of the labor force traveling to work on public transportation.

MOST RESIDENTS IN ARMED FORCES

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Armed Forces*
Va.	2	Norfolk	17.9
Cal.	44	San Diego (Central)	17.3
N.C.	7	Fayetteville	11.8
Tex.	11	Waco	11.1
S.C.	1	Charleston	11.1
N.C.	3	Goldsboro	11.0
Haw.	2	Oahu-Outer Islands	9.5
Wash.	6	Tacoma	8.7
Fla.	1	Pensacola	8.5
Colo.	5	Colorado Springs	8.1

*Residents serving in the armed forces as a percentage of voting-age population.

FEWEST MARRIED-COUPLE FAMILIES

State	C.D. No.	Location	% Married Couples
N.Y.	17	Manhattan (West Side)	28.0
N.Y.	15	Manhattan (East Side)	28.1
N.Y.	16	Manhattan (Harlem)	28.6
D.C.	--	At-large	29.6
Mich.	13	Detroit (Central)	30.7
N.Y.	18	Bronx (South)	30.9
Ill.	1	Chicago (South Side)	31.8
Pa.	2	Philadelphia (West)	32.3
Cal.	24	Los Angeles (Hollywood)	34.2
N.Y.	11	Brooklyn (Bedford-Stuyvesant)	34.5

Selected JCPS Publications

Black Elected Officials: A National Roster, 1987, 16th edition. JCPS Office of Research. Catalog No. 737. ISSN 0882-1593. $29.50.

Black Employment in City Government, 1973–1980, Peter Eisinger, 1983. Catalog No. 705. ISBN 0-941410-32-3. $4.95.

The Changing Patterns of Black Family Income, 1960–1982, Henry E. Felder, 1984. Catalog No. 703. ISBN 0-941410-43-9. $4.95.

Elected and Appointed Black Judges in the United States, JCPS and the Judicial Council of the National Bar Association, 1986. Catalog No. 714. ISSN 0889-3179. $10.00.

A Horse of a Different Color: Television's Treatment of Jesse Jackson's 1984 Presidential Campaign, C. Anthony Broh, 1987. Catalog No. 715. ISBN 0-941410-54-4. $7.95.

How to Use Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, third edition, Barbara Y. Phillips, 1984. Catalog No. 727. ISBN 0-941410-27-7. $4.95.

Minorities and the Labor Market: Twenty Years of Misguided Policy, Richard McGahey and John Jeffries, 1985. Catalog No. 713. ISBN 0-941410-53-6. $5.95.

Minority Vote Dilution, edited by Chandler Davidson, 1984. Available from Howard University Press, 2900 Van Ness St., Washington, DC 20008. $24.95.

Mobilizing the Black Community: The Effects of Personal Contact Campaigning on Black Voters, Paul Carton, 1984. Catalog No. 706. ISBN 0-941410-42-0. $4.95.

The Nineteen Eighties: Prologue and Prospect, Kenneth B. Clark and John Hope Franklin, 1981. Catalog No. 729. ISBN 0-941410-20-X. $2.95.

A Policy Framework for Racial Justice (II): Black Initiative and Governmental Responsibility, 1986. Introduction by John Hope Franklin and Eleanor Holmes Norton. Catalog No. 724. ISBN 0-941410-61-7. $6.95.

Public School Desegregation in the United States, 1968–1980, Gary Orfield, 1983. Catalog No. 707. ISBN 0-941410-29-3. $4.95.

Race and Political Strategy, edited by Thomas E. Cavanagh, 1983. Catalog No. 730. ISBN 0-941410-33-1. $4.95.

Strategies for Mobilizing Black Voters: Four Case Studies, edited by Thomas E. Cavanagh, 1987. Catalog No. 712. ISBN 0-941410-48-X. $8.95.

Thirty Years after Brown, Jennifer L. Hochschild, 1985. Catalog No. 711. ISBN 0-941410-49-8. $4.95.

$6.95

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ISSN 0888-8957